

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_128135
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>INTELLECTUAL INSPIRATIONS AND PRODUCTIVE SCHOOL CULTURE OF LANGUAGE TEACHERS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The results obtained from the study and data processing using SPSS highlight the importance of these two elements mentioned in the title as well as their correlation. However, the results reconfirm the conclusions of the results from previous authors which are also referred to in this manuscript, which does not constitute a new essential finding. The article does not bring genuine innovation but reconfirms previously analysed realities	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Status and significance of intellectual inspiration and productive school culture of language teachers in public elementary schools	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	yes	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Suggestion: the article should elaborate on the specifics of the foreign language teacher profession, as well as explain the terms used and analysed in the study such as creativity, critical thinking, problem-solving, dealings, social skills, role models, rules, and praising. Also, certain paragraphs of the manuscript mention the figure and role of the school leader, and leadership, which is not mentioned even in the abstract, or introduction. Also, the manuscript does not specifically state the hypotheses, which are the null hypothesis and which are the acceptable one. The data processing according to the SPSS program is correct in terms of factors and variables. this manuscript requires a reconceptualization in its qualitative aspect	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes. The references are sufficient. Authors from recent years and also from several years ago are cited.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>The manuscript should be reworked in terms of the theoretical elaboration of the concepts used throughout the analysis</b>	

**Review Form 3**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Migena Alimehmeti</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Tirana, Albania</b>