

ReviewForm3

Journal Name:	AsianJournalofEducationandSocialStudies
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AJESS_127966
Title ofthe Manuscript:	Barriers to PhysicalActivity of Bachelorof PhysicalEducation Students
Type ofthe Article	OriginalResearch Article

PART1:Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correctthe manuscriptand highlightthatpart inthe manuscript.It is mandatorythat authors should writehis/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript has significant importance for the scientific community as it sheds light on the critical barriers to physical activity among Bachelor of Physical Education students. By identifying psychological, emotional and cognitive, environmental and socio-economic factors as major obstacles, the study provides valuable insights into the challenges faced by future Physical Education professionals. By identifying the universal barriers, it highlights the need for globally relevant and targeted interventions. The findings of this study serve as a basis for designing evidence-based behavioural change strategies to address these barriers, and promote a healthier and more active lifestyle among BPEd	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes. The title is aligned with the purpose of the study.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Clearly specify the location where the study was conducted to provide context and enhance the relevance of the findings. Highlight the research design used in the study, and briefly explain its appropriateness for addressing the research objectives. Ensure that the subject-verb agreement is correct throughout the manuscript, as this is essential for grammatical accuracy. Additionally, revise the text to consistently use past tense, reflecting that the study has already been completed. These improvements will enhance the clarity, professionalism, and overall quality of the research.	
Is the manuscript scientifically correct? Please write here.	Introduction: Clearly highlight the key issues and challenges addressed in the study to establish its relevance and significance. Sampling: Specify the sampling technique employed and detail the criteria used to select participants for the study. Ensure the sampling method aligns with the objectives and scope of the research. Research Instrument: Revise the text to consistently use past tense, as the study has already been completed. This ensures clarity and grammatical consistency throughout the section. Data Gathering Process: Avoid duplication of headings. Provide a detailed and comprehensive explanation of the data collection process, ensuring clarity and logical flow. Results: Present all tables in compliance with APA 7th edition formatting guidelines. Critically analyze and discuss the findings in relation to existing literature, supporting interpretations with appropriate citations. References: Ensure all references adhere strictly to APA 7th edition guidelines. Remove hyperlinks to maintain a professional and uniform format.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Some references in the manuscript are over 10 years. It is essential to revisit the manuscript and incorporate more recent studies to ensure the relevance and alignment of the cited articles with the findings of your study.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
Optional/General comments	Review the grammar carefully and make the necessary corrections to enhance clarity and readability. Additionally, improve the overall presentation of information by ensuring it is well-organized, logically structured, and concise. Pay attention to sentence flow, coherence, and consistency to make the content more engaging and professional. This will not only strengthen the manuscript but also make it more accessible to readers.	

ReviewForm3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jeric e. Suguis
Department, University & Country	Davao de Oro State College, Philippines