

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127439
Title of the Manuscript:	"RELEASE Model: A Critical Literacy-Based Inquiry Approach to Improve Students' Reading-Writing Skills"
Type of the Article	Article on Education

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant importance for the scientific community as it offers an innovative approach to enhancing critical literacy skills in higher education. By integrating the RILIS Model's five stages—Research, Inquiry, Literacy, Interpretation, and Synthesis—the study provides a structured framework to develop students' critical thinking and argumentation skills. The combination of qualitative and quantitative data strengthens the research's validity, making its findings more robust and applicable. I appreciate the manuscript's emphasis on active student involvement and its potential to inform curriculum development. However, addressing the challenges of time constraints and student readiness could further enhance the model's applicability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	All are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness and technical soundness through its systematic research design and use of both qualitative and quantitative methods, ensuring a comprehensive analysis of the RILIS Model's effectiveness. The inclusion of diverse data collection techniques, such as tests, classroom observations, and interviews, enhances the reliability and depth of the findings. Furthermore, the focus on critical literacy, a widely recognized framework in education, aligns with established theoretical foundations, adding credibility to the study. The research methodology and clear articulation of the model's stages provide a replicable framework, making it a valuable contribution to the field of inquiry-based learning.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	Yes	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript offers a valuable contribution to the field of education, particularly in advancing critical literacy and inquiry-based learning strategies in higher education. The integration of the RILIS Model's stages is innovative and demonstrates a thoughtful approach to addressing contemporary challenges in reading and writing instruction. However, providing more detailed examples of its application in diverse educational settings could enhance its practical relevance. Additionally, discussing strategies to address implementation challenges, such as student readiness and time constraints, could make the findings more actionable. Overall, this work is a strong foundation for future research and curriculum development in this area.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Raj Kishor Singh
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University, Nepal