

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_126977
Title of the Manuscript:	THE VIRTUAL INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE OF EXTREME PRODUCTIVE FACTORS AND SUSTAINABILITY: CASE STUDY OF AN EFFICIENT EDUCATIONAL VECTOR
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### **PART 1:** Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There is importance of this manuscript because it has taken the aspect of sustainability.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	It is alright.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes, it is alright.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They are appropriate.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is better to make paraphrase once for some paragraphs.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is better to add some more.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes, alright.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Try to improve more.	

#### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Janardan Paudel
Department, University & Country	Tribhuvan University & Nepal Open University, Nepal