

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127658
Title of the Manuscript:	SPECIES DIVERSITY IN COASTAL HOMEGARDENS OF KERALA
Type of the Article	Research article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This study aims to explore and analyze crop biodiversity in coastal home gardens across different Agro-Ecological Units (AEU) of Kerala. This is important to promote sustainable agriculture, enhance ecosystem resilience by supporting diverse crop species that adapt to environmental changes, ensure food and nutrition security by ensuring stable supply of diverse crops. In summary, this study is important to analyze biodiversity of coastal home gardens in Kerala and develop effective policies and practices to promote sustainable development and ecological conservation in coastal areas.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable for the subject and content	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary is comprehensive and well written.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	A scientifically well-constructed and accurate study.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient, but more up-to-date sources may be used.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is suitable.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Selvinaz Gülçin Bozkurt
Department, University & Country	Biruni University, Turkey