

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127636
Title of the Manuscript:	Optimization of High-Density Planting Configurations for Poovan Banana (<i>Musa spp.</i>) in Coconut-Based Agroforestry Systems of the Cauvery Delta Zone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study has focused on Optimizing High-Density Planting Strategies for Poovan Banana (Musa spp.) in Coconut-Based Agroforestry Systems of the Cauvery Delta Zone by highlighting some important quality, quantity and morphological parameters. This study describes the importance of high-density planting strategies.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Optimizing High-Density Planting Strategies for Poovan Banana (Musa spp.) in Coconut-Based Agroforestry Systems of the Cauvery Delta Zone	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract needs to be rewritten. The abstract should be improved with a standard format.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	It looks like the overall study has been done technically and scientifically. The conclusion of the study should be extended with some catchy statements.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are insufficient in number. Authors are requested to add more recent references to support the study.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The manuscript needs to be improved with sound grammar. There are some grammatical mistakes in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ghodake Balkrushna Dattatray
Department, University & Country	Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, India