

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127967
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of different edible coatings on physical and physiological characters of acid lime (<i>Citrus aurantiifolia</i> Swingle) cv. Sai Sharbati
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Paper addresses an interesting topic, but it requires improvement and modifications.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract should briefly summarize the key findings and their implications. You can touch upon how the optimal treatment (Coconut oil 100 %) emerged from the study and its practical relevance in terms of shelf life and consumer preferences.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Clarify whether the coatings were tested for sensory attributes or potential consumer acceptance.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Aim for concise language while ensuring that the reader grasps the core concepts without ambiguity.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	If possible, add a brief discussion	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Biswajit Karmakar
Department, University & Country	Bidhan Chandra Krishi Viswavidyalaya, India