

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127965
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth and yield performance of strawberry cultivars under different growing conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Authors studied the morpho physical, yield and yield attributes of 3 straw berry varieties under 3 different growing conditions. Though this type of study is well repeated in the past and does not have so much new insights but the varieties studied here might deliver some new info regarding the region and growth media specific message to the future readers and strawberry cultivars.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I recommend revising the title as following – "Growth and yield performance of strawberry cultivars under different growing conditions of Dhule city of Maharashtra".	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Not so comprehensive and it need to be revised as per the comments and suggestions written in the main article. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Before this background and justification of the study should be clearly mentioned - concluding remarks should be mentioned at the end (last line). 	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Mostly. This study has 2 treatments replicated and randomized as per RCB design, The data collection and presentation seems to be appropriate. However, the data analysis should be more clearer in methodology section and also in the table footer used.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are not so sufficient. Hence the following two references were added- <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Chowhan, S., Hossain, M., Hoque, M., Rasul, G., & Roni, M. (2016a). Yield performance of strawberry genotypes. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research, 41(3), 481-489. http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/bjar.v41i3.29720 - Chowhan, S., Roni, M., Ahmed, S., Uddin, H., & Hasan, M. (2016b). Evaluation of nutritive value and shelf life of strawberry genotypes. Asian Journal of Medical and Biological Research, 2(1), 19-26. http://dx.doi.org/10.3329/ajmbr.v2i1.27564 	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Mostly but it should be tried to improve.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Methodology part should be improved as commented. - Yield per plant and yield per hectare should be presented in graphical form (bar graph). - Level of significance on table footer/methodology part should be mentioned. - Conclusion should be corrected as suggested and commented. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sushan Chowhan
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh Institute of Nuclear Agriculture, Bangladesh