

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127085
Title of the Manuscript:	Azotobacter as a possible bio-fertilizer for managing soil and plant health: A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The importance of this manuscript is not so appreciated, because the review is without the addition of original works of the authors. This article is a copy of review article (little modification) of Jenita et al. published in Bull. Env. Pharmacol. Life Sci., Vol 10 [6] May 2021 : 72-79. I dislike this article as it is without addition of author's work.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Structure of the article is a copy form of review article published earlier in Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Addition in subheadings is required. The text portion in each headings should be more with recent references.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are sufficient but recent references to be added.	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor revision is needed with addition of recent works. Language is alright, in few portion of the article scientific names should be in italic.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Not so suitable for publication in the present status.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arun Kumar Rath
Department, University & Country	SJCET, (Utkal University), India