

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_127870
Title of the Manuscript:	The Synergistic Effect Of Curcuma Longa And Rosmarinus Officinalis On Some Biochemical Markers In The Brain Of Alcohol- Treated Rats
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	As patients related to neurological disorders increase day by day and still there are some lack of knowledge regarding treatment to cure those disorders , there are some more need to new finding and this manuscript could help in treatment of neurological disorder as this manuscript mentioned the toxicity and study design and experiments with the assay and also in this manuscript graphical representation shows the result of that finding.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I suggest that the title should not be too long and it should be simple and understandable like (Combine effect of Curcuma longa & Rosmarinus officinalis on neurological disorders)	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Its enough no lack of information	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes! It is as per my knowledge	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	If only few references are there and if it supports our thoughts of research and specifically research and if we can prove our point then its sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are some grammatical mistakes , Should use online grammar corrector tools if required	
Optional/General comments	What I noticed and want to suggest is that about sequences like in material and methods it should start with the point Experimental animal then Acute toxicity then plant material and then plant extraction	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tahoora Ansari
Department, University & Country	Allana College of Pharmacy, India