

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_127901
Title of the Manuscript:	Inventories of forest host plants of the Xanthomonas bacterium in cashew orchards in western Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The authors have addressed an important part on the colonization of unrecognized bacterial strains on cashew leaves, so that they can purify and identify them. The authors can carry out additional work if possible to try new synthetic molecules or plant extracts of essential oil to fight against these bacteria, it enriches the work and enhances scientific research and gives an approach to fight against these pathogenic species and stop such an epidemic	.
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title needs to be changed Isolation of strains of bacteria of the Xanthomonas family suitable for cashew plants in orchards in western Burkina Faso	.
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	replace: "Our results reveal the presence" with "we have proven the existence of 18"	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	the manuscript scientifically it is correct Non comment	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	the references are sufficient and recent but poorly organized, they must be written in newspaper format use the following model: Rahman, M., Bhuiyan, M., Sutradhar, G., Rahman, M., & Paul, A. (2008). Effect of phosphorus, molybdenum and rhizobium inoculation on yield and yield attributes of mungbean. International Journal of SustainableCrop Production, 3(6), 26–33	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	the language/English quality it is of the article suitable for scholarly communications No comment	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Haitham Elleuch
Department, University & Country	University of Tunis El Manar, Tunisia