

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_127612
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Cookies with functional ingredients: effect of adding powdered passion fruit albedo on the physical-chemical characteristics and sensory attributes of cookies sweetened with xylitol</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is important because it informs the global community about nutritionally enhanced cookies, especially those that contain dietary fiber and other health promoting active substances. Addition of Xylitol which used as a sweeteners and preservative as ingredient during cookies production for extending the shelf life of cookies has also an important role in ensuring food and nutrition security.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The manuscript's title is organized properly.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of this manuscript requires revision and improvement. The introduction, problem of statement, objectives, methodology, conclusion, and recommendation should all be included in the abstract section.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	The manuscript is well structured, and the background of the manuscript is adequately justified and cited.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript offers sound scientific evidence in favor of the worldwide use of xylitol sweeteners in snack food and other complementary food production. However, the manuscript still requires minor technical revisions and improvements. The author must carefully edit the documents and rearrange the manuscript to make it more reliable.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	<b>It is sufficient</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	It needs further language edition	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript offers an important evidence for the utilization passion fruits in the production of nutritious and acceptable cookies. The work is appreciated and author's writing preparation for this work is sufficient. However, sensory attributes for evaluation of cookies acceptability was not listed briefly. It is recommended for the author to briefly indicate the selected sensory attributes of cookies. In addition, the presentation of result in the table 3 moisture content was excluded and it should be presented under proximate composition.	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Milkesa Feyera</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Melkassa Agricultural Research Center, Ethiopia</b>