

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Experimental Agriculture International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEAI_127036
Title of the Manuscript:	Cultivation trial of Pleurotus eous and Pleurotus ostreatus mushrooms on rice straw (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) in Daloa (Côte d'Ivoire)
Type of the Article	Research article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Yes the manuscript is important from the point of agriculture.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract must include significance of the work.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically correct but not 100 percent.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The authors must include recent references.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English language is very poor. There are lot of grammatical errors and the sentences are not correct at all in most of the places in manuscript. The authors have to seriously correct these errors.	
Optional/General comments	1) The abstract must include significance of the work. 2) In result section, the error bars must be shown in the graphs. 3) The discussion section must be improved. 4) The conclusion section must be elaborated. 5) The references must include recent.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Aparna Gunjal
Department, University & Country	Dr. D.Y. Patil, Arts, Commerce & Science College, India