

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_127241
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF RIGHT VENTRICULAR STRAIN IN HEART FAILURE WITH PRESERVED EJECTION FRACTION
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript can be improved after considering the points mentioned in the below mentioned points.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The word 'strain' can be removed from the keywords. Mention the full-form of TAPSE.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There a few issues regarding this. There has been no mention of ethical clearance or how to sample size was calculated. If it is a prospective study was done what was the need to compile data from archives. Kindly explain. Regarding inclusion criteria it is mentioned 'experienced cardiac decompensation in the month prior to their inclusion in our database'. What about the patients who were not in your database. Would they be included?	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Kindly add a few more additional references. The number of references are too less for the kind of article. There is lot of articles on this topic.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Also check for spelling errors throughout the article	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Girish Joseph
Department, University & Country	Christian Medical College & Hospital, India