

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJESS_128139</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>REVEALING CONVENIENT LEARNING PRACTICES AND PROFESSIONAL GROWTH OF PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL TEACHERS</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it addresses the integration of "convenient learning practices" and "professional growth" among public elementary school teachers, a crucial area for enhancing educational outcomes. By utilizing a quantitative correlational research design, the study offers empirical evidence on how adaptive learning methods and professional development programs impact teachers' effectiveness.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title of the article is suitable as it effectively captures the core focus of the study. It highlights the dual aspects of the research - examining convenient learning practices and their connection to professional growth among public elementary school teachers.  However, to enhance clarity and precision, a slightly refined alternative could be: "Exploring the Relationship Between Convenient Learning Practices and Professional Growth Among Public Elementary School Teachers." This alternative emphasizes the study's correlational approach and provides a clearer indication of its scope.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of the article appears to be comprehensive as it provides key details about the study. However, the following improvements could enhance the abstract's clarity and effectiveness: 1. Incorporate specific quantitative results: While the abstract discusses findings qualitatively, including specific statistics or correlation values would strengthen its informativeness. 2. Refine recommendations: The recommendations are somewhat generic. Adding details about specific strategies or types of professional development programs could make them more actionable. These suggestions will help the abstract better meet the expectations of clarity, conciseness, and informativeness for academic publications.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript appears scientifically correct based on its adherence to standard research methodologies. It utilizes a non-experimental quantitative design, and employs appropriate statistical tools. It includes: 1. Clarity of Research Questions: The research purpose is clear and measurable, focusing on the relationship between convenient learning practices and professional growth. 2. Validity of Methods: The use of universal sampling and statistical analyses indicates sound methodological decisions, though further review of sample size and statistical assumptions is advisable. 3. Relevance of Findings: The findings are consistent with the stated objectives and provide actionable insights.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references included in the manuscript are generally sufficient and relevant, covering a range of theoretical and empirical studies. They align well with the topic of convenient learning practices and professional growth. Many of the cited works are recent, from 2023 and 2024, which ensures the findings are up-to-date and reflect current research trends. However, a more comprehensive review might include additional recent articles global perspective. Consider citing studies from diverse educational settings outside the Philippines to compare trends or	

**Review Form 3**

	methodologies.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication. The text adheres to standard academic conventions, presenting its arguments and findings in a clear, concise, and formal manner. The manuscript uses precise terminology relevant to its field, which enhances its credibility and aligns with the expectations of scholarly readers.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	N/A	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ketsunee Taweekaew</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Thailand National Sports University, Thailand</b>