

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127760
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Underutilized Fruits for Nutritive Value and Its Value-Added Products: A Review
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript reviewed some underutilized fruits and documented their nutritional advantages. This will prevent the imminent extinction of these fruits. Researchers can really tap from the manuscript.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive enough.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	They are sufficient. Since this is a review work, the references captured some old and recent ones suggesting robust review.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suitable	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The author should check all the flow charts and ensure that they start with raw materials and end with specific product. The unit operations in-between the raw material should be stated as such e.g. chart 4: cleaning and not cleaned, washing and not washedetc. Chart 7 can be used as guide as it stands out among them. Cited references must all be listed. The author should work on the flow charts. The author should ensure that all cited references are listed and that appropriate APA style should be consistently followed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joshua Oluremi Odedeji
Department, University & Country	University of Medical Sciences, Nigeria