

Review Form 3

JournalName:	JournalofEngineeringResearchandReports
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_JERR_127261
TitleoftheManuscript:	TheImpactofCamberVariationonNACA2412AirfoilEfficiencyandItsImplicationsforAdaptiveEngineeringSolutions
TypeoftheArticle	

PART1:ReviewComments

Compulsory REVISIONcomments	Reviewer'scomment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance ofthismanuscriptforthescientificcommunity.Whydo youlike(ordislike)thismanuscript?Aminimumof3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Therearemanyreasonswhythismanuscriptisimportant.Theyareavaluablesourceof information for wind energy specialists and researchers and can provide insight into the past that would otherwise be lost. The manuscript can also be a scientific reference especially in the aerodynamics of NACA profiles, and its value is immeasurable.	
Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Good writing titles should be concise, accurate, and informative, and it should tell the reader exactly what the article is about. This is where the keywords you've identified come in. It's vital to incorporate your most relevant keywords in your title to make your article more discoverable in online searches.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggesttheadition(ordelation)ofsomepointsinthis section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is brief and complete from the point of view of the content of an article. It allows readers to quickly understand the content of an article	
Are subsectionsandstructureofthemanuscript appropriate?	appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound?Aminimumof3-4sentencesmayberequired forthispart.	Theamountofreferencesareadequateforamanuscriptofthatlength,andall references cited were published within the last 5 years, making them relevant still.	
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?Ifyouhave suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Yes,they aresufficientand recent.	
MinorREVISIONcomments Isthe language/Englishqualityofthearticlesuitable for scholarly communications?	Yes,thelanguage/Englishqualityof thearticleissuitableforscholarly communications.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Merad Laarej
Department, University & Country	Tlemcen University, Algeria