

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_127718
Title of the Manuscript:	An Economic analysis of interventions of National Innovations in Climate Resilient Agriculture (NICRA) project in Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript titled " An Economic analysis of interventions of National Innovations in Climate Resilient Agriculture (NICRA) project in Assam " is well articulated and addresses a fundamental topic within the fields of economic aspects of interventions, constraints faced by farmers and innovations in climate resilient agriculture. The study compressively identifies and analyses the NICRA interventions, climate resilient Agro-interventions by NICRA, offering valuable insights for contingency planning, preparedness, village climate risk management efforts. With some additional details and clarifications, the study could be further improved.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, this title is suitable.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No need of any addition or deletion. The introduction section is good and shows the significance of the study. However, there are a lot of typographical, punctuation and grammatical mistakes that need to be addressed as I have pinpointed in the track changes sections. A thorough revision for language and grammar is necessary to improve the readability and clarity of the text.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	I think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. Similar study has not been done. This manuscript demonstrates scientific correctness by thoroughly discussing NICRA supported by relevant literature and data references. However, some sections could benefit from clearer explanation and improved organization to enhance accessibility and precision. Therefore, the study is worthy to be published.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are not sufficient, most of them are recent. However, a few are not most recent. Therefore, more most recent references should be added. Put the relevant article with citations in the reference list.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Please check the grammar and punctuation typo errors in the article. Send to the proof read.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The paper has a lot of typographical and grammatical mistakes.</p> <p>Therefore, my concern lies in the presence of numerous grammatical and typographical errors throughout the manuscript.</p> <p>A thorough revision for language and grammar is necessary to improve the readability and clarity of the text.</p> <p>Overall, I believe the manuscript is acceptable after inclusion of all these revisions, particularly with regard to addressing the specific questions and comments raised, and ensuring a thorough linguistic revision.</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fredrick Kayusi
Department, University & Country	Pwani University, Kenya