

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_127118
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Agroforestry Systems- A Pathway to Resilient and Productive Landscapes</b>
Type of the Article	

**PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p>This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it comprehensively reviews agroforestry systems, showcasing their role in enhancing resilience and productivity amid climate change. I appreciate its thorough analysis and emphasis on socio-economic benefits, which can encourage adoption among smallholder farmers.</p> <p>Also, it effectively connects agroforestry to multiple Sustainable Development Goals, highlighting its relevance in addressing global challenges.</p>	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	<p>Yes, the title "Agroforestry Systems- A Pathway to Resilient and Productive Landscapes" is suitable as it clearly conveys the focus on agroforestry and its benefits for resilience and productivity in agricultural landscapes. If taking Suggestions...</p> <p>An alternative title could be: "Integrating Trees and Agriculture: Enhancing Resilience and Sustainability through Agroforestry Systems."</p>	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	<p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive as it effectively outlines the key themes of agroforestry, including its integration of trees, crops, and livestock, and its role in addressing environmental challenges.</p> <p>However, I suggest adding a brief mention of specific benefits, such as improved soil health, carbon sequestration, and enhanced biodiversity, to provide a clearer picture of the ecological advantages.</p> <p>Additionally, including a statement about the socio-economic impacts on smallholder farmers could strengthen the abstract by highlighting the broader implications of agroforestry practices.</p> <p>This will enhance the clarity and depth of the abstract.</p>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	<p>Yes, the subsections and structure are appropriate, providing a logical flow from introduction to benefits and challenges of agroforestry, enhancing readability and clarity.</p>	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<p>The manuscript relies on current research findings and established theories in agroforestry, ensuring scientific robustness.</p> <p>It takes data from various studies, highlighting the ecological, economic, and social benefits of agroforestry systems.</p> <p>Specific examples, such as yield increases and carbon sequestration potential, provide evidences to support its claims.</p> <p>The manuscript addresses contemporary challenges and proposes actionable strategies, demonstrating a comprehensive understanding of the subject matter and its relevance to sustainable</p>	

**Review Form 3**

	agriculture.	
<p><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b></p> <p>=</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript appear to be sufficient and cover a range of relevant studies of agroforestry...</p> <p>However, to enhance the manuscript's credibility, it could benefit from including more recent studies published within the last few years, particularly those focusing on innovative agroforestry practices and their impacts on climate change adaptation.</p> <p>Suggested references could include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Recent meta-analyses on agroforestry's impact on biodiversity and ecosystem services.</li> <li>- Studies of socio-economic impacts of agroforestry in different regions, in the context of climate resilience.</li> <li>- Research on the integration of technology in agroforestry practices, such as precision agriculture and remote sensing for monitoring agroforestry systems.</li> </ul>	
<p><u>Minor REVISION</u> comments</p> <p><b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is suitable for scholarly communications. But make sure to be consistent in the scientific terminologies used in this manuscript.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>The manuscript is good and comprehensive but, could be enhanced by including case studies and addressing potential challenges. Overall, it is well-written and presents a strong case for adopting agroforestry practices.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Saransh Kumar Gautam</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, India</b>