

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_127893
Title of the Manuscript:	OBSERVED LEARNING OUTCOMES OF THE 2010 SOCIAL STUDIES CURRICULUM IMPLEMENTATION: VIEWS FROM ASANTE AKIM NORTH DISTRICT, GHANA
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript addresses a very important research gap in Social Studies Curriculum Implementation by considering the observed learning outcomes of the 2010 Social Studies curriculum implementation. The study's use of teachers and students as respondents adds an important dimension to the literature on Curriculum Implementation. The manuscript is rigorously researched and structured. The practical recommendations offered by the study is apt for policy makers and relevant stakeholders in the education sector.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article adequately reflects the discourse it contains.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article is quite comprehensive.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct and robust. The manuscript used a mixed-methods approach paired with a concurrent triangulation research design, which aligns with evaluative research, which involves gathering data to determine whether something, such as a curriculum or educational material, should be accepted, changed, or eliminated. This study's methodology is well-articulated, using well-established sampling techniques and robust statistical analyses—specifically descriptive statistics, such as percentages, frequencies, means, and standard deviations, enhancing the reliability and reproducibility of the findings. This methodological transparency and alignment with research objectives ensure that the study is both scientifically and technically thorough	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references in the manuscript seem to cover a wide range of relevant literature. However, I recommend the references should be revised using APA 7th Edition.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language/English quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communications. However, there are several areas where minor revisions could enhance clarity, precision, and formal tone.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	David Ekpoto
Department, University & Country	University of Calabar, Nigeria