

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127423
Title of the Manuscript:	ATTITUDE AND ACHIEVEMENT TOWARDS MAP READING SKILL OF HIGHER SECONDARY GEOGRAPHY STUDENTS OF MURSHIDABAD, WEST BENGAL
Type of the Article	research article

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is valuable to the scholarly community because it addresses the critical skill of map reading, an essential component of geographic education, especially in upper secondary education. Because it focuses on a specific region, it provides localised insights that can inform tailored educational interventions. The statistical analysis is thorough and the results are relevant for improving geography curricula. I appreciate the practical implications of the study, although refining the language and broadening the discussion could increase its impact.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is appropriate, but can be made even more concise. Suggested title: "Attitudes and Achievements in Map Reading Skills Among Higher Secondary Geography Students in Murshidabad, West Bengal."	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The summary is comprehensive, but could be improved by briefly mentioning the main recommendations or implications of the findings. Also, avoid overly technical terms such as "five hypotheses and one research question" without context.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate, with a clear flow from objectives to methodology, analysis and results.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript is scientifically sound as it uses appropriate statistical tools and provides well-documented results. The correlation and t-test analyses are methodologically sound. The inclusion of practical recommendations would add impact to the research	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are sufficient, but could include more recent studies (after 2018) to improve relevance. Adding comparative studies from similar regions or educational levels could strengthen the context (this is optional).	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Overall, The language is understandable, but requires grammatical refinement for scholarly communication. Improve grammatical accuracy and consistency, especially in the Methodology and Discussion sections. Clarify terms such as "favorable area" with precise wording. Ensure that all figures and tables are consistently labelled and well explained.	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript has a solid foundation, but would benefit from minor revisions to improve clarity, broaden the discussion, and strengthen the implications for the educational community. There are no apparent ethical issues in this manuscript, as it primarily involves a descriptive survey with students.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zuhriddin Juraev
Department, University & Country	Chonnam National University, South Korea