

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127423
Title of the Manuscript:	ATTITUDE AND ACHIEVEMENT TOWARDS MAP READING SKILL OF HIGHER SECONDARY GEOGRAPHY STUDENTS OF MURSHIDABAD, WEST BENGAL
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	"I find this manuscript lacks appeal due to its insufficient presentation of new evidence to support its claims. The research does not adequately address or highlight a clear problem statement, which makes it difficult to understand the purpose and significance of the study. To enhance the manuscript, the authors should clearly articulate the problem being addressed, provide a stronger rationale, and incorporate recent, relevant studies to substantiate their findings. Without these elements, the manuscript does not contribute meaningfully to the existing body of knowledge in the field."	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Exploring the Relationship Between Attitude and Achievement in Map Reading Skills Among Higher Secondary Geography Students in Murshidabad, West Bengal"	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>This my suggestions to improve the abstract for better clarity, depth, and academic rigor:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. State the Purpose Clearly Include a sentence at the beginning that clearly defines the purpose of the study. For example: <i>"This study aims to explore the relationship between students' attitudes and achievement in topographical map reading among Higher Secondary Geography students in Murshidabad District of West Bengal."</i> 2. Improve Clarity of Design and Methods Provide more details about the research design and methods used, particularly the rationale for selecting the sample and the reliability/validity of the questionnaire. For example: <i>"Using a descriptive survey research design, 200 students (male and female) were selected through simple random sampling from both rural and urban schools. Data was collected using a researcher-developed 5-point Likert scale questionnaire, validated for reliability."</i> 3. Hypotheses and Research Question Briefly mention the hypotheses or research question to emphasize the scope of the investigation. For example: <i>"The study tested five hypotheses and addressed one primary research question to assess the influence of gender and locale on attitudes and achievement in topographical map reading."</i> 4. Data Analysis Techniques Specify what statistical methods were used for analysis. For example: <i>"The data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics through MS Excel and SPSS 20.0 software."</i> 5. Elaborate on Findings Discuss the findings in more detail and their implications. For example: <i>"The findings revealed that the students' locale (rural vs. urban) had a significant influence on their attitudes and achievement in topographical map reading, while gender showed no significant effect. Additionally, a slight positive correlation was found between students' attitudes and achievement in map reading, suggesting that attitude plays a role in their performance."</i> 6. Conclude with Impact/Implications Add a concluding statement about the study's implications. For example: <i>"These findings underline the importance of addressing locale-specific factors in geography education to enhance students' engagement and competency in map reading."</i> 	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p>No</p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound due to its adherence to established research methodologies, such as employing a descriptive survey design and using validated tools. However, in the text should be clarify more</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The reference very weak, should be add more reference all in every part</p>	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It is good but should be focus more on the information to support</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>I have put in the paper PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hareesol Khun-Inkeeree
Department, University & Country	Prince of Songkla University, Thailand