

**Review Form 3**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_128249
Title of the Manuscript:	THE FUTURE OF SOLAR ENERGY IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES
Type of the Article	Review Article

**PART 1: Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript is critically important for the scientific community as it highlights the significant potential of solar energy in transforming global energy landscapes, particularly in developing countries. It provides a comprehensive analysis of the current status, growth, and future prospects of solar energy, underscoring its capacity to meet a substantial portion of the world's electricity demands by 2050. The manuscript contributes valuable insights that drive innovation and policy-making in the renewable energy sector by addressing the existing gaps in solar energy deployment and advocating for increased use of solar photovoltaic power. This work reinforces the importance of renewable energy and serves as a crucial reference for future research and development in sustainable energy solutions.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes suitable	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	An excellent abstract and present state of solar energy is given in the abstract. But here are some ideas to improve its clarity and comprehensiveness:  <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Clarify the Significance: The abstract could benefit from a clearer statement of why the manuscript's findings are important for the scientific community.</li> <li>2. Include Challenges: Briefly mention the major gaps and challenges in the field, which will make the abstract more balanced.</li> </ol>	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references not sufficient and there is some of them not recent like 1,2,5,11	

**Review Form 3**

<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the article suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>First of all, thank you to the editor and editorial team of the Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology for allowing me to review this work. The article is a thorough and well-researched piece that contributes significantly to the discourse on solar energy in developing countries. With minor adjustments to its structure and analytical depth, it can serve as a robust resource for policymakers, researchers, and stakeholders in the energy sector.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The inclusion of visuals is helpful, but their integration into the narrative could be smoother. Explicitly referencing figures in the text would improve their contextual relevance.</li> <li>2. Although the article is well-referenced, some sections lack direct in-text citations, making it unclear which sources support specific claims.</li> <li>3. The conclusion summarizes the findings but could be more forward-looking. Highlighting emerging trends, such as advancements in energy storage or policy innovations, would add value.</li> <li>4. Formatting subsections with numbered headings are not correct from part 4 to the end e.g. (4. DEFINITION AND SIGNIFICANCE OF SOLAR ENERGY: and then 3.1. APPLICATION OF SOLAR ENERGY. How is that?</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Reviewer Details:**

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Layth Mohammed Abdali</p>
<p>Department, University &amp; Country</p>	<p>University of Kufa, Iraq</p>