

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_127455
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determinants of Market Participation among Vegetable Growers in West Coast Region, The Gambia</b>
Type of the Article	

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is significant for the scientific community as it delves into the critical factors influencing vegetable growers' market participation in the West Coast Region of the Gambia, addressing gaps in existing literature specific to West Africa. It employs robust methodologies, including descriptive and Probit model analysis, to provide empirical evidence on determinants like gender, transportation, and market information access, offering valuable insights for policy interventions. The findings are particularly relevant for advancing smallholder farmers' market integration, enhancing productivity, and reducing rural poverty. However, the manuscript could benefit from a more comprehensive exploration of the policy implications and long-term strategies to address the systemic barriers identified.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	The title is appropriate and concise for the subject matter.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of the article provides a solid overview of the study's focus on the market participation among vegetable growers in the Gambia, highlighting the need for improved infrastructure, strengthened farmer organizations, and better access to credit and market information. However, it could benefit from a few enhancements to improve clarity and comprehensiveness.  <b>Suggestions for Improvement:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Add Specific Objectives</li> <li>• Rewrite key findings</li> <li>• Mention Implications</li> </ul>	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	It is appropriate for the manuscript	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically robust, employing a probit model and comprehensive data analysis to investigate factors influencing vegetable growers' market participation. Its reliance on primary data collected through structured interviews ensures reliability, while grounding in random utility theory strengthens theoretical validity. The study's practical recommendations, such as improving infrastructure and supporting farmer associations, make it a valuable resource for policymakers and stakeholders, contributing meaningfully to agricultural development research.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> :	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent.	

**Review Form 3**

Minor REVISION comments <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communications	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Niraj Kumar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Junagadh Agricultural University, India</b>