

## Review Form

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</a>  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_JSRR_128061  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Dairy Herd Parameters and Productivity Comparisons in Karnal District Across Beneficiary and Non-Beneficiary Farmers of Farmers Farm School |
| Type of the Article      | Research article  |

### General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**PART 1: Comments**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|--|
| <b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b> | Livestock plays a pivotal economic role in the Indian rural economy. Cattle, Buffalo, and goats form the major dairy animals. The sale of milk is a primary activity in rural villages. This study compares the dairy herd parameters and the productivity of cattle possessed by the beneficiary farmers of farmers farm school and non-beneficiaries. Results showed that around 18 per cent had the ecto- parasite infestation followed by repeat breeding. |  |
| <b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>   | Yes. but it lacks conciseness and seems to be verbose.   |  |
| <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>      | The authors begin with the methodology directly, background seems to be missing that may reduce the interest of the reader, i.e. why is this study conducted?<br>Please include only important information from the study and refrain from providing too much information about statistical results in the abstract itself. This may confuse or mislead the reader about the important area of the research paper.   |  |
| <b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>  | No, the authors may have forgotten to include a literature review section. Methodology section is not scientifically written. Please present the results and discussion in separate sections.  |  |
| <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>                              | No, References are too few and old.  |  |
| <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>  | Need some improvements.  |  |
| <b><u>Optional/General</u> comments</b>   |  |  |

**PART 2:**

|   | <b>Reviewer's comment</b>  | <b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                        |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Udit Maheshwari</b> |
| Department, University & Country | <b>IIMA, India</b>     |