

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_128061
Title of the Manuscript:	Dairy Herd Parameters and Productivity Comparisons in Karnal District Across Beneficiary and Non-Beneficiary Farmers of Farmers Farm School
Type of the Article	Research

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript holds significant value for the scientific community as it provides critical insights into the impact of Farmers Farm School initiatives on dairy herd management and productivity. By comparing key parameters such as milk yield, mineral mixture supplementation, and morbidity status between beneficiary and non-beneficiary farmers, the study highlights the effectiveness of targeted agricultural interventions in improving livestock performance. The findings contribute to the broader understanding of how educational and resource-oriented programs can address challenges in dairy farming, serving as a reference for policymakers and researchers aiming to enhance sustainable livestock productivity.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Follow the alphabetical order while writing keywords	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes scientifically correct.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Addition can be done. Suggested reference- Singh, Awadhesh Kumar, Rohit Shelar, Boda Mahesh Naik, and Arun Kumar Singh. 2024. "Assessment of Dairy Farmers' Knowledge Regarding Good Dairy Farming Practices in Uttar Pradesh, India". <i>Journal of Scientific Research and Reports</i> 30 (11):1009-22. https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i112628 .	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Language quality is suitable for scholarly communication.	
Optional/General comments	Discussion can be elaborated. Rest part of the manuscript is good.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rohit Shelar
Department, University & Country	MPKV Rahuri, India