

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127925
Title of the Manuscript:	Status of Inland Fisheries Sector in Telangana State
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The written manuscript is a complete package of information related to fish production in the state Telangana. Few more details related to data of aquaculture and natural resources and their production can be added.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Suggestion "Status of Inland Fisheries Sector in Telangana State and Scope for enhancement in Fish Production"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Abstract is very much comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are recent.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Gramatical mistakes are there. Mostly have been corrected but before submission of revised file, please thoroughly check the grammar.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	There is no requirement of ethical approval for this manuscript PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajinder Kaur
Department, University & Country	KVK Barnala (Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana, Punjab), India