

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Scientific Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JSRR_127883
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of white wine grape varieties for growth and yield parameters in semi-arid condition of India
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/> Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	There is scientific importance while the viticulture and wine production are expanding in new countries	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	I would suggest a small change to the title. My alternative proposal: "Evaluation of vegetative characteristics of white wine grape varieties for growth and yield parameters in semi-arid conditions of India". He have to keep in mind that the wine varieties of grape are mainly evaluated according to the characteristics which deal with the wine production.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions	Yes	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please	It is OK	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	<p>The evaluation of wine varieties (white and red, both) has to do mainly with the characteristics which deal with the production of wine, For example the acidity or the sugars of the grape berries or the alcohol strength of the produced wine. [take a look, if you wish, at a monograph ISSN: 1791-2377 © 2020 School of Science, IHU. All rights reserved. doi:10.25103/jestr.134.04]. In this manuscript the author deals only with the vegetative characteristics of the varieties and this must be clear. So I Suggest a differentiation to the title and also to the conclusions where it is written "These findings underscore the varietal adaptability and potential for different wine profiles based on growth conditions and seasons, providing valuable insights for viticulture practices aimed at optimizing grape yield and wine quality". In reality, the wine-connected characteristics underscore the varietal adaptability or we talk not about the varietal adaptability but only about the vegetative characteristics of each variety.</p> <p>Additionally: The Author has to become clearer and more specific in his expressions, as an example he has to explain what BIOVIS is? The Author has to declare in the text of the manuscript that the varieties have been cultivated under the same conditions (the irrigation, the soil, the possible use of fertilizers etc)</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Theodoros A. Markopoulos
Department, University & Country	Democritus University of Thrace, Greece