

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Biology &amp; Biotechnology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127373
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PROBIOTICS ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE IN BACKYARD POULTRY FARMING
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3****PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Backyard poultry farming is a major source of livelihood of millions of Indians throughout the country. Although the indigenous breeds are very hardy and disease-resistant, farmers often have to compensate for these traits. Thus, many have switched to improved varieties of our indigenous poultry. The given manuscript highlights the efficacy of probiotics in further advancing the body weight gain, production and liveability in these improved birds. Thus this is a very important study that the author has undertaken.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	A Comprehensive Study of Probiotics in Backyard Poultry	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, it is comprehensive. However, the sentence "Probiotics is one of the feed additives to decrease chick mortality" is indicative of probiotics being a miracle drug only aimed at decreasing mortality rates. Instead, I would suggest explaining the word probiotics (pro: for, biota: life) and what they are briefly, mentioning how they help with reducing mortality rates. Also, the abstract should delve less into the technicalities of the study and not be a repetition of the subsection "Materials & Methods".	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically robust because the study carried out by the author is evidence-based and statistical. The author has duly provided citations for references wherever needed and documented their study within scientific norms. The values are arranged properly in a tabular manner, which makes it easier for the scientific community to comprehend. Moreover, the structure of the article is proper and sequential,	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, the references are sufficient.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language is clear but correctness can be improved. The author must also adhere to a specific font style, size and alignment. Moreover, proper punctuation and gaps are lacking in the given manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Best wishes for the publication!	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kanjanabh Kumar Das</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Veterinary Science, Khanapara, AAU, India</b>