

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JABB_127373
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF PROBIOTICS ON GROWTH PERFORMANCE IN BACKYARD POULTRY FARMING
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Probiotics considering its importance in health is an important study that should be encouraged especially with regards to poultry and poultry products especially now that red meat is considered hazardous. I like the manuscript because it exposes the best approaching towards improving the health and quality of birds that will have ultimate nutritional quality in humans.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title is suitable though with a little addition to fine-tune it	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is comprehensive as it systematically and scientifically arranged.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	They are appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The scientific correctness of this study cannot be overemphasized as it didn't just evaluate the impact of probiotics EC on growth performance and livability of vanaraja chicks but also offered solution on how to use probiotics EC as a supplement to achieve the desired body weight and livability poultry birds (vanaraja chicken).	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Majority of references are recent only few (two) and I suggested that they be changed to more recent authors. This could be seen on the reference section and bold red.	
Minor REVISION comments	Yes. The English quality is suitable for scholarly communication	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Though the title, methodologies and discussion are good but they have been few suggestions that could be made to add weight to the manuscript. Generally, the manuscript is good for publication.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Egwurochi, Wilson Iheukwumere
Department, University & Country	Akanu Ibiam Federal Polytechnic, Nigeria