

# Impact of Various Concentrations of Foliar Boron and Zinc on Growth and Productivity of Tomato cv. NS4266 in a Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse

## ABSTRACT

The present study highlights the effect of Micronutrient Zinc and Boron on Growth, Yield, and Quality Parameters of Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L.) under Naturally Ventilated Polyhouse Conditions. Study was conducted during the winter season of 2023-2024 at the Vegetable Research Farm, Department of Vegetable Science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kalyanpur, Kanpur. The experimental design employed was a randomized block design comprising nine treatment combinations, each replicated three times. The investigation evaluated the impact of various concentrations of zinc and boron (0.1% and 0.2%) on the growth, yield, and quality attributes of tomato cultivar NS 4266 grown under polyhouse conditions. The results showed that the highest plant height (232.533 cm), earliest flowering (28 days), thickest stem girth (25.367 cm), and shortest internodal length (8.533 cm) were recorded with the combination of 0.2% zinc and 0.2% boron. Yield parameters indicated the highest number of clusters per plant (12.600), fruits per plant (64.5), fruits per cluster (13.467), polar diameter (6.367 cm), equatorial diameter (7.600 cm), average fruit weight (88.333 g), and fruit yield per plant (13.300 kg) and per 1000 m<sup>2</sup> (262.267 kg) with the same treatment. Quality parameters, including maximum shelf life (9 days), total chlorophyll content (1.800 mg/g), and total soluble solids (8.233 °Brix), were also superior when zinc and boron were applied at 0.2%.

**KEYWORD – Tomato, micronutrient, growth, quality and yield**

## Introduction

The tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* Mill.) is one of the world's most important vegetables, with a total production of about 186.8 million metric tons in 2020 (FAOSTAT, 2021). Depending on the situation, tomatoes can be classified as either a fruit or a vegetable. Tomatoes are a fruit, but supermarkets designate them as vegetables because of their flavor and nutritional value, according to Encyclopedia Britannica. Because of its great nutritional content and versatility in the kitchen, this member of the Solanaceae family is consumed both raw and processed in a variety of forms, such as salads, soups, and sauces (Shukla *et al.*, 2017). Antioxidant is an outstanding property of tomato (Borguini and Torres, 2009). The major advantages of protected cultivation is to increase the photosynthesis efficiency rate and decreasing the rate of transpiration (Kumar *et al.*, 2017). Through photosynthesis of green plants its yield contributing character, fruit set, and fruit yield of tomato are expanded with the use of foliar application (Adams, 2004). In order to complete its life cycle, tomatoes require both macro and micronutrients (Fageria, 1992), (Brady and Weil, 2002).

Tomato growth and yield can be greatly impacted by nutrient shortages, which can lower productivity and quality. Micronutrients like Zinc, Iron, Manganese, Copper, Boron and Magnesium have an important role in the physiology of tomato crop and are required for physiological activities. Researchers have recently found the impact of zinc oxide nanoparticles in tomatoes (Ahmed *et al.*, 2021). Zinc (Zn) and boron (B) are critical plant elements that are needed for proper growth and development of plants and play important roles in numerous physiological processes (Singh *et al.*, 2020). Considerable research work has been done on the aspect of foliar application of micronutrient in different crops and the experimental results indicated not only increase in yield up to 20 per cent but also helpful to sustain crop production. It is involved in various metabolic processes, including chlorophyll production, photosynthesis, and carbohydrate metabolism. Boron, another essential micronutrient, is necessary for cell division, cell wall synthesis, and pollen germination (Camacho-Cristóbal *et al.*, 2008). If the optimum dose of boron is not applied then toxicity effects may appear (Gupta, 1993; Marschner, 1995). Due to deficiency of boron different kinds of disorder occur like Shoulder check crack and minimize by the application of boron (Huang and Snapp, 2004). Inadequate availability of zinc and boron in the soil can limit their uptake by tomato plants, resulting in nutrient deficiencies and subsequent negative impacts on growth and yield. Foliar application of zinc and boron has been widely recognized as an effective strategy to enhance nutrient uptake and address deficiencies in crops, including tomatoes (Shukla *et al.*, 2017). After utilizing these micronutrients, the yield and quality of tomato is improved (Ali *et al.*, 2008). Observations were recorded for different traits. At the stage of last picking plant height was measured by meter (m) from ground level to tip of the main shoot with the help of meter tape. The number of days taken from the date of sowing to date on which first flower appeared in each plot were recorded as days to first flowering. The total number of fruits from five randomly selected plants were weighed and total weight of fruits of the five randomly selected plants was recorded and the average yield of fruits per plant was worked out in Quintal (Q) by summing up of all the pickings.

## METHOD AND MATERIAL

### Experimental site and location

The present investigation entitled “Effect of micronutrient (zinc and boron) on growth, quality and yield parameters on tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.) in naturally ventilated polyhouse” was conducted during rabi season 2023-2024 at vegetable research farm, Department of Vegetable Science, Chandra Shekhar Azad University of Agriculture and Technology, Kalyanpur, Kanpur.

The experiment laid out into randomized block design (RBD) with 3 replications and consisted of 9 treatments *viz.* T<sub>1</sub> Zn 0.0% + B 0.0%, T<sub>2</sub> Zn 0.0% + B 0.1% , T<sub>3</sub> Zn 0.0% + B 0.2%, T<sub>4</sub> Zn 0.1% + B 0.0% , T<sub>5</sub> Zn 0.1% + B 0.1%, T<sub>6</sub> Zn 0.1% + B 0.2% , T<sub>7</sub> Zn 0.2% + B 0.0% , T<sub>8</sub> Zn 0.2% + B 0.1% , T<sub>9</sub> Zn 0.2% + B 0.2%.

## **OBSERVATIONS RECORDED**

### **Growth and yield parameters**

Among the growth and yield parameters following parameters were observed *viz.* plant height, (metre) internodal length (centimetre), days to first flowering, stem girth (centimetre), cluster per plant, fruit number per plant, polar diameter (centimetre), equatorial diameter (centimetre), average fruit weight (gram), fruit yield (Q), fruit yield per 1000m<sup>2</sup>(Q)

### **Quality parameter**

Among the quality parameter following parameters were observed *viz.* shelf life, total soluble solid (°brix) total chlorophyll content

## **Results and discussion**

### **Effect on vegetative parameters**

#### **Plant height**

The results obtained under these vegetative parameters were accentuated and significantly prejudiced by different foliar application of **micronutrient under protected culture in the present study. The robust vegetative growth is an essential** prerequisite for better yield. After 85 days of transplanting when plant height was measured it was highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (232.533) [0.2% zinc and 0.2% boron] which remained at par with treatment T<sub>8</sub> (Zinc (0.2% + boron 0.1%), T<sub>7</sub> (Zinc (0.2%) + boron 0.0%). The lowest height of plant is observed in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (180.5) control which remained at par with treatment T<sub>4</sub> (Zinc 0.1%+Boron 0.1%), T<sub>2</sub> (Zinc0.0% + Boron 0.1%). Similar research has been made by Naga *et al* (2013), Singh and Tiwari (2013).

#### **Days to first flowering**

After 85 days of transplanting when days to first flowering was observed it was found highest in T<sub>9</sub> (Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.1%) which remained at par with T<sub>8</sub> (Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.1%), T<sub>7</sub>(Zinc 0.2%+ Boron 0.0%). The lowest days to first flowering was observed in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (Zinc 0.0%+0.1%) which remained at par with T<sub>3</sub> (Zinc 0.0% + Boron 0.2%). Similar research has been made by Mallick, M., Muthukrishnan, C., (1980)

#### **Stem girth(cm)**

After 85 days of transplanting when stem girth was observed it was found highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.2%) at par with T<sub>8</sub> (Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.1%), T<sub>6</sub> (Zinc 0.1% + Boron 0.2%).

The lowest value of stem girth is found in treatment T<sub>1</sub>(control) which remained at par with T<sub>4</sub>(Zinc 0.1% +Boron 0.0%), T<sub>3</sub>(Zinc 0.0% +Boron 0.2%). highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.2%) which remained at par with T<sub>8</sub> (Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.1%). The lowest value of stem girth is found in treatment T<sub>1</sub>(control spray) which remained at par with treatment T<sub>2</sub> (Zinc 0.0% +Boron 0.1%).

### **Internodal length(cm)**

After 85 days of transplanting when internodal length was observed it was found highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub>(Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.2%) which is 8.533 remain at par with treatment T<sub>8</sub>(8.400), the lowest internodal length was found in treatment T<sub>1</sub>(control) without any nutrient which was 6.4 remain at par with treatment T<sub>2</sub>(0.0% Zinc + 0.1% Boron) (6.633).

### **Effect on quality parameters**

#### **Shelf life**

After 85 days of transplanting shelf life was observed which was found highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub>(9.00) (Zinc 0.2%+Boron 0.2%) which remained at par with treatment T<sub>8</sub>(Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.1%). The lowest shelf life was obtained in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (7.00) (control spray) which remain at par with treatment T<sub>2</sub>(Zinc 0.0% + Boron 0.1%). Similar kind of research has been made on impact of boron and zinc by Raj *et al.* (2012) Salam *et al.* (2010)

#### **TSS (°brix )**

After 85 days of transplanting total soluble solid was observed which was found highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (8.233) (Zinc 0.2%+ Boron 0.2%) which remain at par with T<sub>8</sub> (Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.1%). The lowest total soluble solid was found in treatment T<sub>1</sub>(control spray) which remain at par with treatment T<sub>2</sub>(Zinc 0.0%+ Boron 0.1%). Similar kind of research has been made on impact of boron and zinc by Sinha *et al.* (2006), Raj *et al.* (2012) , Salam *et al.* (2010)

#### **Leaf chlorophyll content**

After 85 days of transplanting leaf chlorophyll content was found which was highest in T<sub>9</sub>(1.800) (Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.2%) which remained at par with treatment T<sub>8</sub>(Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.1%). The lowest leaf chlorophyll content was found in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (1.300) (control spray) which remained at par with T<sub>2</sub>(Zinc 0.0% + Boron 0.1%).

### **Cluster per plant**

After 85 days of transplanting number of clusters per plant was observed and it was found highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub>(Zinc 0.2%+Boron 0.2%) which remained at par with treatment T<sub>8</sub>(Zinc 0.2%+ 0.1%). The lowest value of cluster number per plant is found in T<sub>1</sub>(control spray) which remained at par with treatment T<sub>2</sub>(zinc 0.0% + Boron 0.1%), T<sub>3</sub>(Zinc 0.0% +Boron0.2%). Similar kind of research has been made on impact of Zinc and boron on number of cluster per plant by, Paithankar *et al.* (2004).

### **Fruit per plant**

After 85 days of transplanting number of fruits per plant was observed and it was found highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub>(Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.2%) which remained at par with treatment T<sub>8</sub>(Zinc 0.2%+ Boron 0.1%). The lowest number of fruits per plant was observed in T<sub>1</sub> (Control spray) which remained at par with T<sub>2</sub>(Zinc0.0% +Boron 0.1%). Similar kind of research has been made on impact of zinc and boron on number of fruits per plant by, Mallick, M.F.R. and Muthukrishnan, C.R. (1980).

### **Polar diameter(cm)**

After 85 days of transplanting fruit diameter was observed, polar diameter was found highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (6.367) (Zinc0.2% + Boron 0.2%) which remained at par with T<sub>8</sub> (Zinc 0.2% +Boron 0.1%). The lowest value of fruit diameter was observed in treatment T<sub>2</sub> (4.433) (control spray) which remained at par with treatment T<sub>1</sub>(control spray). Similar kind of research has been made on impact of boron and zinc on polar diameter by Kumar *et al.* (2012).

### **Equatorial diameter (cm)**

After 85 days of transplanting fruit diameter was observed, equatorial diameter was found highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (7.600) (Zinc 0.2%+ Boron 0.2%) which remained at par with treatment T<sub>8</sub>(Zinc 0.2%+ Boron 0.1%), T<sub>7</sub>(Zinc 0.2%+ Boron 0.0%). The lowest value of equatorial diameter was found in treatment T<sub>1</sub>(control spray) which remained at par with treatment T<sub>2</sub>(Zinc 0.0% +Boron 0.1%). Similar kind of research has been made on impact of boron and zinc on polar diameter by Kumar *et al.* (2012).

### **Average fruit weight (g)**

After 85 days of transplanting average fruit weight was observed, it was found highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (88.333) (Zinc 0.2%+ Boron 0.2%) which remain at par with treatment T<sub>8</sub>(Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.1%). the lowest value of average weight found in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (60.200) (control spray) which remained at par with treatment T<sub>2</sub>(64.100) (Zinc 0.0% + Boron 0.1%).

### **Fruit yield per plant and Fruit yield per 1000m<sup>2</sup> (Quintal)**

After 85 days of transplanting fruit yield per plant and fruit yield per 1000m<sup>2</sup> was found highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub> (13.300) (Zinc 0.2%+Boron 0.1%) which remained at par with treatment T<sub>8</sub>(Zinc 0.2% + Boron 0.1%) and fruit yield per 1000m<sup>2</sup> was found highest in treatment T<sub>9</sub>(262.267) (Zinc 0.2%+ Boron 0.1%) which remain at par with treatment T<sub>8</sub>(Zinc 0.2%+ Boron 0.1%). The lowest fruit yield was found in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (7.067) (control spray) which remain at par with treatment T<sub>2</sub>(Zinc 0.0% + Boron 0.1%). The lowest fruit yield per 1000m<sup>2</sup> was found in treatment T<sub>1</sub> (200.433) (control spray) which remain at par with treatment T<sub>2</sub>(Zinc 0.0% + Boron 0.1%). Similar kind of research has been made on impact of boron and zinc on fruit yield by Swati Barche *et al.* (2011), Bose, U.S. and S.K. Tripathi. 1996

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 1 : Variability in plant parameters in different treatment efficacy

Treatment	Treatment detail	Plant height (m)	Stem girth (cm)	Internodal length (cm)	Days to first flowering	Shelf life	TSS °brix	Total chlorophyll content
T <sub>1</sub>	Control spray	180.512	21.567	6.453	30.333	7.000	4.167	1.300
T <sub>2</sub>	Zinc 0.0%+Boron 0.1%	191.333	23.500	6.633	30.000	6.000	4.300	1.397
T <sub>3</sub>	Zinc 0.0% +Boron 0.2%	199.267	22.433	7.267	30.000	7.000	4.500	1.467
T <sub>4</sub>	Zinc 0.1% +Boron 0.0%	189.667	21.700	6.733	29.667	6.000	4.633	1.550
T <sub>5</sub>	Zinc 0.1%+Boron 0.1%	200.633	22.600	6.733	29.667	7.000	4.733	1.510
T <sub>6</sub>	Zinc0.1% + Boron 0.2%	197.533	24.400	7.233	29.333	8.000	6.700	1.650
T <sub>7</sub>	Zinc 0.2%	195.533	23.200	8.333	29.333	6.000	6.733	1.690
T <sub>8</sub>	Zinc 0.2%+Boron 0.1%	210.267	24.467	8.400	29.000	8.000	7.533	1.733
T <sub>9</sub>	Zinc 0.2%+ Boron 0.2%	232.533	25.367	8.533	28.000	9.000	8.233	1.800
	CD	1.473	1.402	1.200	N/A	1.697	1.285	N/A
	SE(m)	0.487	0.464	0.397	0.818	0.561	0.425	0.425
	SE(d)	0.689	0.656	0.561	1.157	0.793	0.601	0.602
	CV	0.422	3.454	9.326	5.641	13.66	12.85	47.03

Treatment	Treatment detail	Cluster per plant	fruit per plant	Polar diameter (cm)	Equatorial diameter (cm)	Average fruit weight (g)	Fruit yield per plant (Q)	Fruit yield Per 1000m <sup>2</sup> (Q)
T <sub>1</sub>	Control spray	8.000	50.467	4.513	6.200	60.200	7.067	200.433
T <sub>2</sub>	Zinc 0.0%+Boron 0.1%	8.467	53.367	4.433	6.433	64.100	9.800	240.2
T <sub>3</sub>	Zinc 0.0% +Boron 0.2%	8.500	55.467	5.273	6.567	69.433	10.867	246.3
	Zinc 0.1% +Boron 0.0%	8.567	57.533	5.487	6.667	73.433	10.400	250.6
T <sub>5</sub>	Zinc 0.1%+Boron 0.1%	10.133	59.3	5.633	6.733	75.600	11.567	249.3
T <sub>6</sub>	Zinc0.1% + Boron 0.2%	10.633	60.533	6.067	6.800	78.333	10.633	248.733
T <sub>7</sub>	Zinc 0.2%	11.400	61.267	5.867	7.467	83.300	11.367	256.367
T <sub>8</sub>	Zinc 0.2%+Boron 0.1%	11.767	63.467	5.697	7.533	85.733	11.500	256.367
T <sub>9</sub>	Zinc 0.2%+ Boron 0.2%	12.600	64.5	6.367	7.600	88.333	13.300	262.267
	CD	1.254	1.48	0.943	N/A	1.848	1.124	2.199
	SE(m)	0.415	0.49	0.312	0.442	0.611	0.372	0.727
	SE(d)	0.587	0.692	0.441	0.625	0.864	0.525	1.028
	CV	7.17	1.451	9.856	11.17	1.404	6.002	0.513

**Table 2 : Variability in fruit parameters in different treatment efficacy**

## Conclusion

The results show that enhanced growth, yield, and quality parameters were obtained with foliar spray applications of varying dosages of zinc and boron as zinc mono and borax. The most effective combination for achieving maximum growth, yield, and quality metrics is treatment number nine, which applies 0.2% zinc and 0.2% boron as a foliar spray.

## Disclaimer (Artificial intelligence)

### Option 1:

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

### Option 2:

Author(s) hereby declare that generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models, etc have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts. This explanation will include the name, version, model, and source of the generative AI technology and as well as all input prompts provided to the generative AI technology

Details of the AI usage are given below:

- 1.
- 2.
- 3.

## References

1. Adams, P. 2004. Effect of nutrition on tomato quality, tomatoes in peat. How feed variations affect yield. *Grower*: 1142–1145
2. Ahmed, R., Yusoff Abd Samad, M., Uddin, M. K., Quddus, M. A., & Hossain, M. M. (2021). Recent trends in the foliar spraying of zinc nutrient and zinc oxide nanoparticles in tomato production. *Agronomy*, 11(10), 2074.
3. Ali S., Khan A.Z., Mairaj G., Arif M., Fida M. and Bibi S. 2008. Assessment of different crop nutrient management practices for yield improvement. *Aust. J. of Crop Sci.*, 2(3):150-157
4. Barche, S., Singh, P., Mahasagar, H. AND Singh, D. B., 2011, Response of foliar application of micronutrients on tomato cv. Rashmi. *Ind. J. Horti.*, 68 (2): 278-279.
5. Borguini, R. G., & Ferraz Da Silva Torres, E. A. (2009). Tomatoes and tomato products as dietary sources of antioxidants. *Food Reviews International*, 25(4), 313-325.
6. Bose US and Tripathi SK 1996, Effect of micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of tomato Cv. Pusa Ruby in MP. *Crop Research* 12:61-64.
7. Brady, N.C. and Weil, R.R. 2002. *The Nature and Properties of Soils*. 13th Ed. Upper Saddle River, NJ, Prentice Hall.
8. Camacho-Critobal, JJ., Rexach., J. and Gonzalex Fontes, A. 2008. Boron in Plants: Deficiency and toxicity. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*: 351-358.
9. Fageria, N.K. 1992. *Maximizing crop yields*. New York: Marcel Dekker.
10. Gupta, U. C. 1993. Factors affecting boron uptake by plants. In: Gupta UC, editor. *Boron and Its Role in Crop Production*. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA:87–104.
11. Huang J.S. and Snapp S.S. 2004. The effect of boron, calcium and surface moisture on shoulder check, a quality defect in fresh market tomato. *Journal of American Society Horticulture Science.*, 129(4): 599-607.
12. Kumar, H., R. A. Kaushik., K. D. Ameta, A.L. Regar, K, S. Rajawat., and Kumari, P. 2017. Effect of humic acid and nutrients mixture on quality parameter of Tomato (*Lycopersicon*

*esculentum* Mill.) under polyhouse condition. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*(3)1369 – 1372.

13.Malliick, M., & Muthukrishnan, C. (1980). Effect of Micronutrients on tomato (*Lycopersicum esculentum*), II. Effect on flowering, fruit-set and yield. *South Indian Hort.*, 28(1),

14.Marschner, H. 1995. Mineral Nutrition of higher plants (2nd ed.). Academic Press Inc., London, UK, 53. Nonnecke, I. B. L. 1989. Vegetable Production. Avi Book Publishers, New York, USA: 200– 229

15.Naga, S. K., Swain, S. K., Verma, S. V. and Raju, B. (2013). Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth parameters in tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *Discourse J. Agr Food Sci.*, 1 (10): 146-151.

16.Paithankar, D. H., Sadwarte, K. T., Manhorkar, K. V. and Dipali, D. (2004). Effect of foliar application of boron and DAP fertilization on quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). *J. Soils Crop*, 14(1): 46-49.

17.Raj, G. B., Patnaik, H. C., Reddy, I. P. and Rao, A. P. (2001). Response of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) to zinc and iron. *Veg. Sci.*, 28(1): 80-81.

18.Salam, M. A., Siddique, M. A., Rahim, M. A., Rahman, M. A. and Saha, M. G. 2010. Quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) as influenced by Boron and Zinc under different levels of NPK fertilizers. *Bangla. J. Agril. Res.*, 35 (3): 475-488.

19.Shukla, N., R, Yadav.,P,Kaur.,S,Rasmussen.,S,Goel.,M,Agarwal.,A,Jagannath.,R,Gupta and Kumar, A.2017. Transcriptome analysis of root-knot nematode (*Meloidogyne incognita*)-infected tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill) roots reveals complex gene expression profiles and metabolic networks of both host and nematode during susceptible and resistance responses. *Journal of Molecular Plant Pathology*.

20.Singh, H. M. and Tiwari K. J., 2013, Impact of micro-nutrient spray on growth, yield and quality of tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill). *National Horticultural Research and Development*, 2 (1): 87-89.

21.Singh, P .,J, Singh., S, Ray., R,Rajput., A,Vaishnav., R,singh. and Singh, H.2020. Seed biopriming with antagonistic microbes and ascorbic acid induce resistance in tomato against Fusarium wilt. *Journal of Microbiology Research*.