

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_127859
Title of the Manuscript:	Market availability of selective post-emergence herbicides for major food crops in the Haut-Sassandra region (Central-Western Côte d'Ivoire)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript addresses a critical aspect of sustainable agriculture in Haut-Sassandra, Côte d'Ivoire, by evaluating the market availability of selective post-emergence herbicides. It helps understand weed management practices, optimizing productivity while minimizing environmental impact in that region.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Yes, it is. But please rewrite the abstract to meet the publication standards. Use comma wherever the word respectively is used. Do correct these values 92,00 %, 92,00 %, 5,00 %, 3,75 % and 1,75 %.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	No. Need to rework on the reference part. Please refer author guidelines and also published articles for making references.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	No. Need to improve the language quality.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Line 33, 34 – Try to add recent reference            Line 37 – Try to add recent reference            Use comma wherever the word respectively is used            Line 86,87 - Do correct these values 92,00 %, 92,00 %, 5,00 %, 3,75 % and 1,75 %            Line 105 – Correct the word departement            Table 2 and 3 – Correct the values in the table            Line 114 - 84.62%; - Use comma instead semicolon            Line 121 – Rewrite this - Main food crops selective post-emergence herbicides active ingredients frequencies in the Haut-Sassandra region            Line 136, 160 – Use standard format/ template for subheadings            Line 166, 167, 168 – Rewrite the lines mentioned</p> <p>Overall, the language quality needs to be improved. Add strong discussion. Add references to meet the standard.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Madhavan Gnanasekaran</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, India</b>