

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Medical and Pharmaceutical Case Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJMPCR_127709
Title of the Manuscript:	Echinococcosis in an Unusual Site: A Rare Case Report of a Primary Hydatid Cyst in the Thigh.
Type of the Article	Case report

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

**Review Form 3**

**PART 1: Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript is important for the scientific community as it highlights an extremely rare presentation of hydatid disease in the thigh, contributing to the limited body of literature on primary muscular hydatidosis. By showcasing the diagnostic challenges and successful management of this unusual case, it underscores the need for considering hydatid disease in differential diagnoses of soft tissue masses, especially in endemic areas. The detailed account of surgical techniques and postoperative care offers valuable insights for clinicians managing similar cases. Furthermore, this case serves as a reminder of the diverse clinical manifestations of echinococcosis, encouraging vigilance in atypical presentations.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Yes it is suitable, this version emphasizes the rarity and location while maintaining a clear and concise structure.	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract introduces the case but misses some crucial details, such as diagnostic imaging findings and specific postoperative outcomes. While it effectively highlights the rarity of the condition, it could better emphasize the significance of the case for clinical practice. I suggest to include the diagnostic tools used and highlight key management outcomes to provide a more balanced summary.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	Yes, the manuscript appears to be scientifically correct. It provides a reasonable description of the case, including the rarity of hydatid disease in muscle tissue, and follows established knowledge about diagnosis and management of such cases. However, certain areas, such as diagnostic imaging details, serological evidence, and a deeper discussion comparing this case to others in the literature, could enhance its scientific rigor and provide a more comprehensive understanding.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	Yes, the references are sufficient and recent. They adequately support the manuscript's content and provide relevant context for the rarity and management of primary hydatid disease in the thigh.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality of the article is generally suitable for scholarly communication. It uses appropriate medical terminology and adheres to the conventions of academic writing. However, certain sections, such as the introduction and discussion, include repetitive phrasing and could benefit from more concise and precise wording. Minor grammatical improvements and editing for clarity in some sentences would enhance the overall readability and professional tone.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript highlights a rare case of primary hydatid disease in the thigh, offering valuable clinical insights. It is well-structured but could benefit from deeper discussion and minor language improvements to enhance clarity and impact	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Senol Tahir</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University "Goce Delcev", North Macedonia</b>