

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_127759
Title of the Manuscript:	Does Interest Rates affect Financial Disclosure and Non-performing Loans? Evidences from Commercial Banks in Western Uganda.
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The article is articulate and simple. It gives a concise explanation of relevant terms and methodology It is a good work	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title of the article is good	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is intact	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	The manuscript is scientifically correct and credible	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	References are Sufficient	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is clear and simple to understand	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The article is good and free from plagiarism	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Michael Carcoo Mensah
Department, University & Country	Ghana