

Review Form 3

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Food Science Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AFSJ_127774 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Chemical and Microbial Quality Assessment of Non-Branded Snacks Sold on the BSMRSTU Campus, Bangladesh |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|---|---|
| Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part. | The manuscript addresses the critical issue of food safety, particularly in informal food markets, which is a global public health concern. The study focuses on assessing microbial and chemical contamination in non-branded snacks. The findings inform public health interventions to reduce the risk of foodborne illnesses. | |
| Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) | Yes, it's suitable, but nutritional quality can be included. Like Nutraceuticals and microbial quality..... | |
| Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here. | Yes, it includes all the essential points mentioned in the manuscript. | |
| Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here. | Data related to nutrient content is present in the form of percentages; it should be present in the mean value. | |
| Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. | Most of the references used in the manuscript are old. Recent work should be added. | |
| Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | Yes, grammatical mistakes should be corrected before acceptance. | |
| Optional/General comments | After the corrections are made, the manuscript can be accepted. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i> |
|--|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Prachi Marwaha |
| Department, University & Country | Lalit Narayan Mithila University, India |