

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_127774
Title of the Manuscript:	Chemical and Microbial Quality Assessment of Non-Branded Snacks Sold on the BSMRSTU Campus, Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<p>The study compared the nutritional and microbial content of the branded and unbranded snack within the study area. And emphasises consumer awareness. But it's not discussing the</p> <p>Need to educate the producer in order to scale up their food processing skill and produce snack that will be comparable with their branded counterparts</p> <p>The manuscript was well written and throws more light on the nutritional composition of non branded food snack within the study area. However the study lack data evidence To back the literature review and it did not highlight enough study that was similarly done in other places. The literature review could have been more indepth</p>	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes the title is suitable for the content of the manuscript	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	Yes quite comprehensive	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.		
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The reference can be more recent within the last 5 years	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The communication is quite OK	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Funke Adedeji
Department, University & Country	Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria