

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_127240
Title of the Manuscript:	Towards Progressive Multilateralism: Decoding the Trilemma of State, Democracy, and Globalisation
Type of the Article	Short communication

#### PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study promises to establish correlation between state, democracy and globalization that has already been investigated by scholars like S K Mishra, Helen V Milner, Rodrik and others. The use of social determinism to deduce reform in multilateralism and MI is not clear in the paper. Too many theories are used to explain the functioning of multilateralism but how the variables of each theory corelates with the functioning of MI as an independent or dependent variable is not discussed properly.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is promising but it is not fully reflected in the paper.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The paper should be divided properly in to segments dealing with the concept of state, democracy and globalization; corelation between trilemma (state, democracy and globalization) and the corelation of the trilemma with multilateralism by taking variables of multilateralism as independent or dependent variables.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The manuscript needs revision to prove the argument stated in the abstract. Social determinism of trilemma in the functioning of MI needs to be substantiated through regression or any other quantitative method. Classical and neo-classical theories interpretation of the working of MI is exhaustive but not sufficiently dealt.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	No. Works of S K Mishra, Milner, Rodrik, Waltz needs to be incorporated.	

### Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments	The article seems promising. The correlation between trilemma though not new is well reflected but its relation with multilateralism if established with the use of regression or other method which the paper promises to do will add meaning and innovativeness to the paper.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Vinita Priyedarshi
Department, University & Country	Patna Women's College, Patna University, India