

Review Form 3

JournalName:	SouthAsianJournalofSocialStudiesandEconomics
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_SAJ SSE_127240
TitleoftheManuscript:	TowardsProgressiveMultilateralism:DecodingtheTrilemmaofState,Democracy,andGlobalisation
TypeoftheArticle	Shortcommunication

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The paper puts forward an important issue that a progressive and representative multilateral institution is needed. And the author points out the limits and flaws of the current global institution. Also, the paper links and tests 3 indexes of state, democracy and globalisation with regression analysis. I can see the paper's importance and innovation.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	no	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	I am curious about the statistics design. The author uses G20 as data but only 11 countries. I wonder about the rest of the countries and organisations and how did the author choose those samples. Does the author think the conclusion is the same without those countries and organisations? Moreover, I thought the author would provide the look/shape of a progressive and representative multilateral institution in the conclusion but it is finding "democracy leads to trade openness is falsified in many countries both from the global South and global North. Besides, the neoliberal assertion that increasing government size reduces trade liberalisation is country-dependent" seems not enough to support the paper title.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	I find the paper lacks some references to the cited number, news, and the words at the beginning paragraphs.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Simin Li
Department, University & Country	Canada