

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_127494
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>A Study on the Bio-control of Parasitic Ciliates (Colpoda) Affecting Fishes</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Scientific paper</b>

#### **PART 1: Review Comments**

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Alternative medication in aquaculture is important in the industry as there are so many issues regarding the use of chemical medication that have negative impact not only to human but also to environment. The industry needed much more study on the alternative medication moreover to control parasite in aquaculture. This manuscript shows a good idea to use plant as anti-parasite but there are so many need to be reconsider regarding method of this study. The extraction method in this manuscript needs to be improved and the safest way is to follow the previous study and paper.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Need to be reconsider. Need to mentioned the scientific name of the parasite. A Study on the Bio-control of Parasitic Ciliates (Colpoda) Affecting Fishes  A study on the effectiveness of various plant crude extract on controlling Parasitic Ciliates (Colpoda sp.) affecting fishes	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	Writer should only put simple introduction about ciliates. Some result for the experiment should be conclude in the abstract.	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Yes, the overall structure is appropriate for scientific paper.	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	<b>In the Introduction:</b> Need to express more the seriousness of ciliates infection in aquaculture, need to input more data form previous study to support this as you choose ciliates as your target. You should find more citation regarding the active compound and study done on your chosen plant (tobacco, neem paste, rue seeds, thyme seeds, and pepper seeds). You should put more previous study about plant extract in controlling diseases in aquaculture as this is your main aim.  <b>In the Materials and Methods:</b> Please state either the plant you use is in what form? Either powder, fresh or dry? And if in dry form what method you use for drying. This step can affect the active compound in your extract. you should indicate how many ciliate/ml in flask. The extract for all the plant should be same to ensure no bias result obtained. You should check your extraction method, from what paper did you obtained the extraction method.  <b>In Results and Discussion:</b> You should indicate what is the concentration of the extract in each flask in proper unit. You should write how many individu/ml ciliate in your study.	

### Review Form 3

<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b> -	The reference needs to be added to support the manuscript, mainly in recent study, method and conclusion.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments  <b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes. The language is suitable for scholarly communication	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The manuscript needs to be revised and references need to be added to support the study. The method needs to be supported by reference paper as there are so many questionable part especially in extraction method.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ahmad Baihaqi Bin Othman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Fisheries Research Institute, Malaysia</b>