

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127480
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION OF REGIONAL REGULATION NUMBER 11 OF 2016 CONCERNING DEVELOPMENT MASTERPLAN REGIONAL TOURISM
Type of the Article	

[Review Form 3](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>This manuscript provides a comprehensive analysis of tourism development policy implementation in Gorontalo City, specifically focusing on the Otanaha Fort as a cultural and historical tourist destination. The research is valuable for the scientific community because it offers an in-depth case study of how local regulations can be implemented to develop tourism infrastructure, highlighting both the challenges and potential strategies for sustainable tourism development. What makes this manuscript particularly compelling is its holistic approach, examining not just the policy implementation itself, but also the intricate factors that influence success, including organizational characteristics, communication between implementing agencies, human resources, and external environmental conditions. The detailed examination of local revenue trends and the nuanced discussion of community involvement and policy effectiveness provide important insights that can be applied to tourism development strategies in similar historical and cultural contexts.</p>	
<p>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p>	<p>The current title "implementation of regional regulation number 11 of 2016 concerning development masterplan regional tourism" is quite technical and lacks specificity about the research focus.</p> <p>A more suitable and engaging title could be:</p> <p>"Sustainable Tourism Development at Otanaha Fort: A Comprehensive Analysis of Policy Implementation in Gorontalo City"</p> <p>The suggested titles better capture the essence of the research, which examines the multifaceted implementation of a regional tourism development regulation, focusing on the historical Otanaha Fort in Gorontalo City.</p>	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p><u>The current abstract provides a good overview of the research, but there are several opportunities for improvement to make it more comprehensive and informative:</u> <u>Strengths of the Current Abstract: Clearly outlines the research methodology (qualitative method, descriptive research) highlights key objectives of the study also provides a brief summary of findings.</u></p> <p><u>Suggestions for Enhancement:</u></p> <p><u>Methodological Details:</u> <u>1. Add more specifics about data collection methods (e.g., specific number of interviews, type of informants)</u> <u>2. Mention the data analysis model (Miles and Huberman) more explicitly</u></p> <p><u>Research Scope:</u> <u>1. Explicitly state the research timeframe</u> <u>2. Clarify the geographical boundaries of the study</u></p> <p><u>Theoretical Framework:</u> <u>1. Briefly mention any theoretical perspectives guiding the research</u> <u>2. Reference any key policy implementation theories used</u></p> <p><u>Proposed Revised Abstract Structure:</u> <u>The research employed a qualitative method with descriptive research design, utilizing Miles and Huberman's interactive analysis model. Data was collected through observation and interviews with [X] key informants from Gorontalo City's tourism sector between May and October 2024. The study aimed to analyze the implementation of Regional Regulation Number 11 of 2016 concerning the Regional Tourism Development Master Plan at Benteng Otanaha tourist attraction in Gorontalo City. The research investigated the policy's implementation process, examined factors influencing its execution, and assessed its impact on local tourism development. Findings revealed that the policy implementation faced multifaceted challenges, including limited resources, communication barriers, and external environmental constraints. Key outcomes included: (1) strategic tourism development initiatives at Otanaha Fort, (2) identification of critical implementation factors, and (3) insights into sustainable tourism policy development. The research contributes to understanding policy implementation dynamics in regional tourism development, offering theoretical and practical insights for policymakers, tourism managers, and academic researchers interested in sustainable cultural tourism strategies.</u></p>	
<p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p>	<p><u>Yes</u></p>	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p><u>The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its comprehensive and methodologically sound approach to analyzing tourism policy implementation. The research employs a rigorous qualitative methodology using the miles and huberman interactive analysis model, which is a well-established approach in social science research for systematic data interpretation. The study's scientific credibility is further enhanced by its multi-faceted analysis, which examines policy implementation through various critical lenses including policy standards, resources, organizational characteristics, communication, implementer attitudes, and external environmental factors. The manuscript's strength lies in its empirical grounding, with detailed data collection from multiple stakeholders, inclusion of quantitative elements like local revenue trends, and a thorough discussion that not only presents findings but also critically analyzes them against existing theoretical frameworks and previous research in tourism development and policy implementation. Moreover, the research provides a nuanced, context-specific exploration of tourism policy implementation, offering both theoretical insights and practical recommendations that contribute to the broader understanding of sustainable tourism development strategies in regional contexts, thus meeting high scientific standards</u></p>	

[Review Form 3](#)

	of scholarly research.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	<u>Yes</u>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments

Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?

After a careful review, the language and english quality of the article presents a mixed scenario:

Strengths:

Technical terminology is appropriately used

Academic writing style is generally maintained

Scholarly structure and academic formatting are followed

Complex policy and tourism development concepts are articulated reasonably well

Areas requiring improvement:

Grammar and syntax issues:

Some sentences have awkward constructions

Occasional grammatical errors and inconsistent sentence structures

Some complex sentences need simplification for clarity

Language precision:

Inconsistent use of academic language

Some sections use overly complex or redundant phrasing

Occasional lack of conciseness

Technical writing refinements:

Review Form 3

	<p>Passive voice is overused in some sections some paragraphs lack smooth transitions terminology could be more consistently defined</p> <p>Recommendations:</p> <p>Review by a native english-speaking academic specializing in tourism or public policy</p> <p>Focus on:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Sentence structure clarity 2. Grammatical consistency 3. Academic language precision 4. Reducing redundancy 5. Improving technical writing flow <p>The manuscript's scholarly content and research rigor are strong, but language quality needs professional polishing to meet highest international scholarly communication standards.</p> <p>Specific example of improvement needed:</p> <p>Original: "the implementation of tourism development policies is more likely to use a top-down approach, which limits community participation."</p> <p>Improved: "existing tourism development policies predominantly utilize a top-down approach, consequently constraining meaningful community participation."</p> <p>The manuscript would benefit significantly from comprehensive language editing to enhance its academic communication quality.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muthana Faaeq Almasooudi
Department, University & Country	University of Kerbala, Iraq, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia