

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127480
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION OF REGIONAL REGULATION NUMBER 11 OF 2016 CONCERNING DEVELOPMENT MASTERPLAN REGIONAL TOURISM
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The practical implications in the implementation of Regional Regulation Number 11 of 2016 are interesting to improve the tourist attraction in Gorontalo City. - There is a lack of analysis to transfer the practical implications of this Regional Regulation to other tourist destinations - I recommend to introduce a more context in relation to tourism in Indonesia 	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	<p>I recommend that the title have a second part, for example</p> <p>IMPLEMENTATION OF REGIONAL REGULATION N° 11 OF 2016 CONCERNING THE DEVELOPMENT MASTERPLAN REGIONAL TOURISM: A PROPOSAL FOR IMPROVEMENT FROM A SUSTAINABILITY PERSPECTIVE</p>	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	I recommend that authors introduce more context and literature review	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The authors should differentiate between literature review and introduction; put the objectives of the article; and expand the methodology	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Authors should focus more on methodology. For example, they should explain the programmes they used. - The authors need to extend the practical implications to other destinations. Although the results are regional, they can transfer their implications to another tourism destination. - I recommend the authors to introduce a new subsection to explain sustainability in tourism. 	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form. :	<p>There is a lack of references to 2024 in terms of sustainability:</p> <p>Carvajal-Trujillo, E., Pérez-Gálvez, J.C. and Orts-Cardador, J.J. (2024), "Exploring tourists' pro-environmental behavior: a bibliometric analysis over two decades (1999–2023)", <i>Journal of Tourism Futures</i>, Vol. ahead-of-print No. ahead-of-print. https://doi.org/10.1108/JTF-02-2024-0033</p>	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article need a deep revision of the English quality	
Optional/General comments	The article shows a deep analysis of the Regional Tourism Development Master Plan at Benteng Otanaha. However, the authors should put more emphasis in the methodology and the practical implications.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jaime Jose Orts-Cardador
Department, University & Country	University of Córdoba, University of Huelva, Spain