

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_127480
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION OF REGIONAL REGULATION NUMBER 11 OF 2016 CONCERNING DEVELOPMENT MASTERPLAN REGIONAL TOURISM
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript provides a comprehensive analysis of the implementation of regional tourism regulations in Gorontalo, particularly focusing on Fort Otanaha as a cultural and historical tourism destination. Its importance lies in highlighting the interplay between local governance, community involvement, and sustainable tourism development, which is crucial for enhancing regional economic growth. The detailed examination of challenges and opportunities within the tourism sector offers valuable insights for policymakers and researchers alike. I appreciate the manuscript's emphasis on collaborative approaches and the need for improved infrastructure, as these factors are essential for fostering a thriving tourism environment while preserving cultural heritage.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title "Implementation of Regional Regulation Number 11 of 2016 Concerning Development Masterplan Regional Tourism" is somewhat suitable, as it reflects the focus on regional tourism regulations. However, it could be more engaging and specific to better capture the essence of the manuscript, particularly its emphasis on Fort Otanaha as a cultural and historical tourism destination. Alternative Title Suggestions: "Enhancing Regional Tourism: The Role of Fort Otanaha in Gorontalo's Development Masterplan" "Fort Otanaha: A Key to Sustainable Tourism Development in Gorontalo under Regional Regulation No. 11 of 2016" "Revitalizing Gorontalo's Tourism: Implementing Regional Regulation No. 11 of 2016 at Fort Otanaha"	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract of the article provides a solid overview of the topic, highlighting the implementation of Regional Regulation Number 11 of 2016 concerning the regional tourism development master plan with a focus on Fort Otanaha. However, it could be enhanced for clarity and completeness. Suggestions for Improvement: Clarify Objectives: The abstract should explicitly state the main objectives of the study. This would help readers understand the purpose of the research more clearly. Summarize Key Findings: Including a brief summary of the key findings or results would provide insight into what the research uncovered about the challenges and opportunities related to Fort Otanaha's development. Highlight Significance: Emphasizing the significance of the study in terms of its implications for local governance, community involvement, and sustainable tourism would strengthen the abstract. Methodology Brief: A concise mention of the methodology used in the research could enhance understanding, particularly regarding how data was collected and analyzed. Limit Redundancy: Some points in the abstract appear repetitive, such as discussing both local governance and community involvement separately. These could be combined for conciseness.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript's subsections and overall structure appear to be appropriate for conveying the research on the implementation of Regional Regulation Number 11 of 2016 concerning tourism development in Gorontalo, specifically focusing on Fort Otanaha. Strengths of the Structure: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clear Organization: The manuscript is divided into distinct sections, including an introduction, methodology, results, and discussion. This logical flow facilitates understanding and allows readers to follow the progression of the research easily. 	

Review Form 3

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Subsection Use: The use of subsections within the results and discussion sections (e.g., planning, implementation, monitoring and evaluation) effectively breaks down complex information into manageable parts, making it easier for readers to digest the findings and analyses. • Focused Content: Each subsection addresses specific aspects of the research, such as challenges in policy implementation and resource allocation, which helps maintain focus and clarity throughout the manuscript. <p>Suggestions for Improvement:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Add a Conclusion Section: Including a dedicated conclusion section could summarize the key findings and implications of the study more explicitly, reinforcing its significance. • Subsection Titles: While the subsections are informative, some titles could be more descriptive to enhance clarity. For example, instead of simply "Resources," a title like "Resource Allocation Challenges" would provide clearer context. • Integration of Community Perspectives: Consider adding a subsection that directly addresses community perspectives or feedback regarding tourism development at Fort Otanaha. This could enrich the analysis by incorporating local voices and experiences. 	
<p>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</p>	<p>The manuscript demonstrates scientific robustness through its comprehensive examination of the implementation of Regional Regulation Number 11 of 2016, focusing on the development of Fort Otanaha as a cultural tourism destination. It employs a structured methodology that includes both qualitative and quantitative analyses, ensuring a thorough investigation of the challenges and opportunities associated with tourism development in Gorontalo. The use of relevant literature and empirical data to support claims enhances its credibility, while the identification of specific factors influencing policy implementation reflects a nuanced understanding of local governance dynamics. Additionally, the manuscript's emphasis on stakeholder collaboration and community involvement underscores its commitment to sustainable tourism practices, aligning with contemporary research trends in public administration and tourism studies.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p>:-</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript appear to be a mix of recent and somewhat older sources, which may impact the overall relevance and timeliness of the research. While some references from recent years (e.g., 2022 and 2023) provide contemporary insights into tourism development and local governance, others date back several years, which might not reflect the latest trends and challenges in the tourism sector.</p> <p>Suggestions for Improvement:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Incorporate More Recent Studies: Adding more recent studies from 2022 or 2023 that focus on tourism development, sustainable practices, or case studies from similar regions could enhance the manuscript's relevance. This would help ensure that the research is aligned with current industry standards and practices. 2) Include Local Sources: Referencing local government reports or tourism development plans specific to Gorontalo or similar regions would provide valuable context and strengthen the manuscript's foundation. 3) Broaden the Scope of References: Including interdisciplinary sources that cover aspects such as community engagement in tourism, economic impacts, and cultural preservation could provide a more holistic view of the issues discussed. 4) Cite Recent Policy Documents: Citing recent national or regional policy documents related to tourism development would help ground the research in current regulatory frameworks. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article are generally suitable for scholarly communication, but there are areas that could benefit from improvement to enhance clarity and professionalism.</p>	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	<p>Accepted with Minor Revision</p>	

Review Form 3

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	I Gusti Bagus Rai Utama
Department, University & Country	Dhyana Pura University, Indonesia