

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_126988
Title of the Manuscript:	AWARENESS OF SCHEDULE CASTE PARENTS TOWARDS EDUCATION WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO AMGURI BLOCK OF SIVSAGAR DISTRICT, ASSAM
Type of the Article	Short communication

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript sheds light on the educational awareness among Scheduled Caste (SC) parents in the Amguri Block of Assam. By focusing on this marginalized group, the study contributes to understanding key educational challenges faced by SC communities in rural areas. This research could inform policymakers and educators about specific barriers to educational progress within SC populations, helping tailor interventions that foster inclusivity and educational upliftment for these communities. I appreciate the manuscript's emphasis on social relevance and its potential impact on improving educational access and awareness in underserved communities.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The current title, " <i>Awareness of Schedule Caste Parents Towards Education with Special Reference to Amguri Block of Sivsagar District, Assam,</i> " accurately reflects the content. However, to improve readability, "Schedule Caste" should be revised to " Scheduled Caste. " An alternative title could be: " <i>Educational Awareness among Scheduled Caste Parents: A Case Study of Amguri Block, Assam.</i> " This revised title enhances specificity and clarity.	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is concise and provides a reasonable summary, covering the study's context, objective, methodology, and results. However, it could benefit from including a brief mention of the findings related to parental awareness levels and the study's implications. Adding a concluding sentence that highlights the practical applications of the research would strengthen the abstract.	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	The manuscript is generally well-structured with distinct sections, including an introduction, methodology, findings, and conclusion. However, the findings section could benefit from being broken down into subheadings or grouped themes to enhance readability and facilitate better flow. For instance, grouping the findings on parental attitudes, participation, and financial considerations could make the results more accessible.	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	The study employs a descriptive research design with purposive sampling, appropriate for exploring awareness levels among a specific population. The use of a self-constructed interview schedule is suitable for the study's goals, but additional details on the instrument's reliability and validity would improve scientific rigor. Overall, the research design is sound and meets the standards for exploratory studies within a specific community context.	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The manuscript includes relevant foundational references but could benefit from more recent sources (preferably within the last 5 years) to support its contextual framework.	

Review Form 3

Minor REVISION comments Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The language is generally clear but would benefit from minor revisions for scholarly accuracy and clarity. Specific areas to address include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Correcting "schedule caste" to "Scheduled Caste."• Revising "achive success in lie" to "achieve success in life."• Consistently using formal language to enhance readability in an academic context.	
Optional/General comments	This manuscript is valuable due to its exploration of an underserved community's educational awareness, providing insights with potential social impact. The suggested revisions are minor and intended to enhance clarity, relevance, and rigor. With these adjustments, the study is suitable for publication and will likely contribute positively to the discourse on educational equity in marginalized communities.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bhawani Shankar Gadatia
Department, University & Country	Sonepur College, India