

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_127730
Title of the Manuscript:	Summary of Research Status on Seismic Performance of Multi-Tower Cable-Stayed Bridge
Type of the Article	Opinion Article

#### PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	The article examined current research on multi-tower cable-stayed bridges in China, focusing mainly on seismic performance. The paper's invention is general, and it is proposed that further research into novel topics be conducted. The finite element analysis approach is mostly described for numerical analysis, although the analysis content is short. However, it is fair enough to accept the article.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	Summary of The Current Research Status on The Seismic Performance of Multi-Tower Cable-Stayed Bridges In China	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract of the article is comprehensive.	
<b>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</b>	The manuscript is scientifically correct.	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	The references are sufficient.	
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The language quality of the article is appropriate for scholarly communication.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Sections 1.1 and 1.2 have the same title. The section 1.2 should change something like "Present Situation of Numerical Analysis Research on Cable-stayed Bridges"	

#### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 3**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Win Ko Myint Thu</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Russian University of Transport, Russia</b>