

ReviewForm3

JournalName:	AdvancesinResearch
ManuscriptNumber:	Ms_AIR_127730
TitleoftheManuscript:	SummaryofResearchStatuson SeismicPerformanceofMulti-TowerCable-StayedBridge
TypeoftheArticle	OpinionArticle

PART1: Comments

	Reviewer'scomment	Author'sFeedback(Pleasecorrectthemanuscriptandhighlightthatpart inthemanuscript.Itismandatorythatauthorsshouldwritehis/herfeedback here)
Pleasewritea fewsentencesregardingtheimportance ofthismanuscriptfor thescientificcommunity.A minimumof3-4sentencesmayberequiredforthis part.	Thepaperprovidesresearch results ofdifferentresearchmethods on the static performanceofmulti-span cable-stayedbridges. The contentof the papermay beuseful for students, faculty and research scholars	
Isthetitleofthearticlesuitable? (Ifnotpleasesuggestanalternativetitle)	Yes Thesubtitleshallbemoreappropriate	
Istheabstractofthearticlecomprehensive?Doyou suggesttheaddition(ordeletion)of somepointsinthis section?Pleasewriteyoursuggestionshere.	AsperthetitleofthePaper,reviewonstatic performance ofmulti-span cable-stayed bridges is summarized. Commentandoutlookshallinclude scopeandrecommendationoffutureresearchwhichismentioned in abstract	
Isthemanuscriptscientifically,correct?Pleasewrite here.	It isa reviewpaper,whichincludesworkspublishedalready. Thereisnothingnewtobescientificallyproven.	
Arethereferencesufficientandrecent?If youhave suggestionsofadditionalreferences,pleasement ion theminthereviewform.	ThegivenReferencesareOKforthegivencontent	
Isthe language/English qualityofthearticlesuitable forscholarlycommunications?	Yes	

ReviewForm3

<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I. Both the titles given are the same – comment 1.1 Present Situation of Experimental Research, 1.2 Present Situation of Experimental Research II. Title seems to be inappropriate 2 Different cable-stayed bridge structure system III. The content of the paper shall be strengthened to meet the requirements of researchers and students IV. The values shall be quantified The analysis of dynamic characteristics shows that the longitudinal bending stiffness of the middle tower of this bridge is small. The results of the uniform excitation shaking table test show that the top of the main tower and the main beam have a large seismic response. The results of multi-point excitation tests show that the influence of traveling wave effect on seismic response can not be ignored. V. The author shall explain about Link 1 element It is found that the Link 1 element simulation can accurately simulate the overall stiffness of the cable-stayed bridge, but the local vibration data of the cable cannot be obtained. VI. As mentioned in the Abstract “propose future research directions to provide reference for the seismic design of multi-tower cable-stayed bridges” no such proposal is given in the conclusion.</p>	
---	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>A Rose Enid Teresav</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>India</p>