

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_127848
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on Performance Measurement and Optimization of Cross-Regional Air Pollution Cooperative Management System
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript offers significant contributions to the scientific community by addressing the critical issue of cross-regional air pollution governance, a topic of increasing relevance in the context of global environmental challenges. By employing a comprehensive framework to measure collaborative governance performance, it provides valuable insights into the effectiveness of regional cooperation in managing air quality. The findings not only highlight the disparities in governance performance between different regions but also identify key factors influencing successful collaboration. This research serves as a foundation for future studies and policy-making, emphasizing the need for enhanced cooperation and innovative strategies to tackle air pollution effectively.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	The title " Research on Performance Measurement and Optimization of Cross-Regional Air Pollution Cooperative Management System " is suitable as it clearly conveys the focus of the study on performance measurement and optimization within the context of cross-regional air pollution governance. However, it could be made more concise and engaging. An alternative title could be " Boosting Air Quality: Collaborative Insights from Yangtze River Delta and Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei. " This title emphasizes the evaluation aspect and highlights the specific regions studied, making it more informative and appealing to potential readers.	

Review Form 3

<p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</p>	<p>The abstract of the article is generally comprehensive as it outlines the main objectives, methodologies, and findings of the research. However, to enhance clarity and impact, I suggest the following additions:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Contextual Background: Briefly mention the significance of air pollution as a cross-regional issue to provide context for the study's importance. Key Findings: While the abstract mentions diverse performance patterns, it could benefit from a more explicit summary of the main conclusions drawn from the analysis, such as specific performance metrics or notable trends observed. Implications: Including a sentence on the practical implications of the findings for policymakers or stakeholders involved in air pollution governance would strengthen the abstract's relevance. Keywords: Consider adding a few more keywords that capture the essence of the study, such as "collaborative governance," "environmental policy," or "regional cooperation," to improve searchability. 	
<p>Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.</p>	<p>The manuscript appears to be scientifically sound. It employs established methodologies, such as the Tobit model, to analyze the performance of cross-regional air pollution governance, which is appropriate for the research objectives. The hypotheses proposed are logically derived from the context of the study, and the references to existing literature (e.g., Wang et al., 2022; Liu and Lei, 2018) indicate a solid foundation in current research.</p>	
<p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p>	<p>The references in the manuscript appear to be sufficient and relatively recent, with several studies from 2022 and 2023 included. This indicates that the authors are engaging with current research in the field of air pollution governance.</p>	
<p>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language and English quality of the article appear to be suitable for scholarly communication. The writing is generally clear, coherent, and employs appropriate academic terminology. Key concepts are articulated effectively, and the structure seems logical.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Sokoga Victor Ategbe</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Ahmadu Bello University, Nigeria</p>