

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_126406
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Integrated Nitrogen Management on Growth and Yield of Kharif Maize
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Review Comments

Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Doing chemical agriculture has definitely shows more deleterious effect on sustainability. Hence, using organic sources can safe guard soil environment and help in increasing the productivity. It is very much essential at this juncture to use some organic sources and also not adopting INM practices. SEE ATTACHMENT	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Effect of Integrated Nitrogen Management practices on Growth and Yield of Kharif Maize can be included	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	No need to give separate side headings in abstract Grain yield and straw yield has to be mentioned Lowest treatment with yield has to be mentioned for comparison Small corrections in sentences to be carried out	
Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Appropriate	
Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	Sentence corrections are required No need to mention about CGR and RGR when the data was not given Discussion part can be added little more for the three parameters Drymatter production can be included for increase in grain and straw yield only plant height parameter is not sufficient or CGR and RGR data can be included	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	Latest references has to be added Last five years references will be considered See that the mentioned references should be in the text	
Minor REVISION comments	Minor corrections required	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Can be published Using organic sources can sustain the production	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	B. Rajendra Kumar
Department, University & Country	Agricultural College, Naira, India