

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_127622
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Photodynamic Therapy in Teeth with Fistula and Apical Periodontitis: Case Series Report
Type of the Article	Clinical Practice Article

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1-reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1-reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	It is important to shorten the time of treatment, to get rid of side effect of antibiotics, it is easy method to sterilize the root canal and external extension of the fistula.	
Is the title of the article suitable? Need to include the role of Photodynamic in the treatment	Evaluation of Photodynamic Therapy as an antimicrobial method in chronic Apical Periodontitis with Fistula	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	It is not comprehensive. It need to add more information in materials and methods as well it need separated results with addition , no need for discussion .	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes it is	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	It is ok as for this type of study. and have mixed new and old references	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	It is scientific and involve valuable information that is can be applied clinically	

Commented [MOU1]: I suggest this title to include the role of photodynamic therapy in treatment of fistula as alternative to antibiotics

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amera K Alkaisi
Department, University & Country	Iraq