

### Review Form 3

Journal Name:	<a href="#">International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_127328
Title of the Manuscript:	Microgreens: A Comprehensive Review Emphasizing Urban Agriculture
Type of the Article	

#### **General guidelines for the Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

#### **Important Policies Regarding Peer Review**

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

### Review Form 3

#### PART 1: Review Comments

<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's Feedback</b> (Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. Why do you like (or dislike) this manuscript? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	This manuscript highlights the significance of microgreen cultivation within the context of urban farming. The intension of the review is beneficial in terms of bringing awareness of microgreens and utilization among urban populations. Although the review addresses these aspects it requires a more structured writing pattern and the inclusion of relevant citations, which can be improved.	
<b>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</b>	May be suitable, as a comprehensive review every aspects in production has to be considered	
<b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.</b>	The abstract appears well-written, however, the content presented within it should be fully integrated into the main body of the article	
<b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>	Not appropriate. There is a need for a comprehensive outline and structured framework for the content of the article	
<b>Please write a few sentences regarding the scientific correctness of this manuscript. Why do you think that this manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound? A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.</b>	Article effectively covers commercial considerations yet it requires a stronger emphasis on research oriented analysis. The current content should be supported by linking the findings from research literature	
<b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</b>	No, references are not cited properly and recent references has to be included	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes its suitable	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The contents has to be structured, need proper citation of research articles.	

#### PART 2:

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Anusree Sobhanan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Institute of Food Technology Entrepreneurship and Management (NIFTEM-K), India</b>