

Review Form 3

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_127815
Title of the Manuscript:	Mitigating Artificial Intelligence Bias in Financial Systems: A Review of Debiasing Techniques
Type of the Article	

General guidelines for the Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guidelines for the Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://r1.reviewerhub.org/general-editorial-policy/>

Important Policies Regarding Peer Review

Peer review Comments Approval Policy: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/peer-review-comments-approval-policy/>

Benefits for Reviewers: <https://r1.reviewerhub.org/benefits-for-reviewers>

Review Form 3

PART 1: Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's Feedback <i>(Please correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Please write a few sentences regarding the importance of this manuscript for the scientific community. A minimum of 3-4 sentences may be required for this part.	This manuscript addresses a critical issue in AI systems, particularly within the BFSI sector, highlighting the importance of debiasing techniques to mitigate ethical concerns. By providing a comprehensive review of biases and fairness approaches, it contributes to the advancement of responsible AI practices, making it a valuable resource for both academia and industry. The research aligns with the growing need for ethical AI and provides insights into implementing fairness in algorithmic decision-making.	
Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes	
Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Do you suggest the addition (or deletion) of some points in this section? Please write your suggestions here.	The abstract is well-written and provides a clear summary of the study's objectives, methodology, and findings. However, it could benefit from a brief mention of future research directions to complete the overview.	
Is the manuscript scientifically, correct? Please write here.	Yes, the manuscript is scientifically sound, addressing relevant biases and fairness issues in AI systems. It presents an organized methodology and an exhaustive review of literature while proposing practical debiasing strategies	
Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention them in the review form.	The references are extensive and include recent studies, ensuring the manuscript is up-to-date.	
Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the language is clear, precise, and suitable for scholarly communications	
Optional/General comments	The manuscript requires minor revisions, particularly to include future research directions in the abstract and minor grammatical improvements.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Darshit Thakkar
Department, University & Country	USA